Memorandum of Agreement City of Cincinnati Cincinnati Police Department United States Department of Justice

Second Status Report to the Independent Monitor

November 12, 2002





Valerie A. Lemmie City Manager Thomas H. Streicher, Jr. Police Chief

S. Gregory Baker Compliance Coordinator

Table of Contents

Section One – Definitions

Memorandum of Agreement - April 12, 2002

Section Two – General Provisions

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 1-9

Section Three – General Policies

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 10-11

Section Four – Use of Force Policy

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 12-23

Section Five – Incident Documentation, Investigation, and Review

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 24-34

Section Six – Citizen Complaint Process

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 35-56

Section Seven – Management and Supervision

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 57-76

Section Eight – Training

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 77-91

Section Nine – Monitoring, Reporting, and Implementation

Compliance Reports - Agreement Items 92-120

APPENDIX

Procedure 12.110 - Handling Suspected Mentally Ill Individuals and Potential Suicides

Procedure 12.180 - Use of Crisis Negotiation Team

Procedure 12.205 - Traffic Enforcement

Procedure 12.535 - Emergency Operation of Police Vehicles and Pursuit Driving

Procedure 12.536 - Foot Pursuits

Procedure 12.537 - Mobile Vehicle Recording Equipment

Procedure 12.545 - Use of Force

Procedure 12.550 - Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel

Procedure 13.100 - Field Training Officer Program

Procedure 15.100 - Citizen Complaints

SECTION ONE

DEFINITIONS

I. DEFINITIONS

- (a.) The term "actively resisting" means the subject is making physically evasive movements to defeat the officer's attempt at control, including bracing, tensing, or pushing, or verbally signaling an intention to avoid or prevent being taken into or retained in custody.
- (b.) The term "CCA" refers to the Citizen Complaint Authority.
- (c.) The term "CCRP" refers to the Citizen Complaint Review Process.
- (d.) The term "CIS" refers to the Criminal Investigation Section.
- (e.) The term "CPD" means the Cincinnati Police Department, its agents and its employees (both sworn and unsworn).
- (f.) The term "CPD unit" means any officially designated organization of officers within the CPD, including districts and specialized units.
- (g.) The term "CPRP" means the Citizens Police Review Panel.
- (h.) The term "canine apprehension" means any time a canine is deployed and plays a clear and well-documented role in the capture of a person. The mere presence of a canine at the scene of an arrest will not be counted as an apprehension.
- (i.) The term "canine bite ratio" means the number of apprehensions accomplished by means of a dog bite divided by the total number of apprehensions (both with and without a bite).
- (j.) The term "canine deployment" means any situation, except in cases involving an on-leash article search only, in which a canine is brought to the scene and either: i) the canine is released from the police car; or ii) the suspect gives up immediately after an announcement is made that if he/she does not surrender the canine will be released.
- (k.) The term "citizen resolution meeting" refers to the meeting between the complainant, the officer accused of misconduct and that officer's supervisor that occurs during the CCRP.
- (l.) The term "City" means the City of Cincinnati, including its agents, officers and employees.
- (m.) The term "Collaborative" refers to the parties to the Collaborative Settlement Agreement entered into in the case In re Cincinnati Policing, No. C-1-99-317 (S.D. Oh. 2001).
- (n.) "Collective Bargaining Agreement" means the labor agreement by and between the City and the Fraternal Order of Police, Queen City, Lodge No. 69 in effect on the date of this Agreement's execution.
- (o.) The term "Court" means the United States District Judge presiding over In re Cincinnati Policing, No. C-1-99-317 (S.D. Oh. 2001).
- (p.) The term "critical firearm discharge" means each discharge of a firearm (excluding beanbag and forty millimeter foam round shotguns) by a CPD officer with the exception of range and training firings and discharges at animals.

I. DEFINITIONS (continued)

- (q.) "Complaint" means an allegation (excluding any criminal investigation) from any source, of any action or inaction by CPD personnel which the source considers to be contrary to law, proper procedure, good order, or in some manner prejudicial to the individual, the CPD or to the community.
- (r.) The term "DOJ" means the United States Department of Justice and its agents and employees.
- (s.) The term "discipline" means a written reprimand, suspension, demotion or dismissal.
- (t.) The term "escorting" means the use of light pressure to guide a person, or keep a person in place.
- (u.) The term "FTO" means a field training officer.
- (v.) The term "force" means the following actions by an officer: any physical strike or instrumental contact with a person, or any significant physical contact that restricts movement of a person. The term includes, but is not limited to, the use of firearms, chemical spray, choke holds or hard hands; the taking of a subject to the ground; or the deployment of a canine. The term does not include escorting or handcuffing a person, with no or minimal resistance.
- (w.) The term "hard hands" means using physical pressure to force a person against an object or the ground, or the use of physical strength or skill that causes pain or leaves a mark.
- (x.) The term "IIS" means the Internal Investigations Section.
- (y.) The term "including" means "including, but not limited to."
- (z.) The term "manager" means a CPD supervisor.
- (aa.) The term "non-disciplinary corrective action" refers to action other than discipline taken by a CPD supervisor to enable or encourage an officer to modify or improve his or her performance.
- (bb.) The term "OMI" refers to the Office of Municipal Investigations.
- (cc.) The term "parties" refers to DOJ, the City and the CPD.
- (dd.) The term "police officer" or "officer" means any law enforcement officer employed by the CPD, including supervisors and managers.
- (ee.) The term "proper use of force decision making" means the use of reasonable force, including proper tactics, and de-escalation techniques.
- (ff.) The term "serious use of force" means any action by a CPD officer that involves: i) a critical firearm discharge; ii) the use of deadly force; iii) a baton strike to the head; or iv) a use of force in which the person is seriously injured (as defined by Ohio law) or requires hospital admission.
- (gg.) The term "supervisor" means a sworn CPD employee at the rank of sergeant or above and non-sworn employees with oversight responsibility for CPD officers and managers.
- (hh.) The terms "will" or "shall" mean that the provision imposes a mandatory duty.

SECTION TWO

GENERAL PROVISIONS

MOA
Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

1	This Agreement is effectuated pursuant to the authority granted DOJ under the	Due Date:	N/A
	Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, 42 U.S.C. §	Primary Compliance Status:	
	14141("Section 14141"), to seek declaratory or equitable relief to	Functional Compliance Status:	
	remedy a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers that deprives individuals of rights,	Implementation Summary:	
	privileges or immunities secured by the Constitution or federal law.	Compliance Documentation:	

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

ſ	2	In April 2001, the Mayor of Cincinnati	Due Date:	N/A
		and other interested persons requested		
		that DOJ review the CPD's use of	Primary Compliance Status:	
		force. This request indicated the		
		City's commitment to minimizing the	Functional Compliance Status:	
		risk of excessive use of force in the		
		CPD and to promoting police integrity.	Implementation Summary:	
		In response to these requests, DOJ		
		decided to conduct an investigation		
		pursuant to its authority under		
		Section 14141.		
			Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph Status DOJ's investigation, conducted with Due Date: N/A the full cooperation of the City, included extensive interviews with Primary Compliance Status: City and CPD officials, CPD officers, leaders of the Fraternal Order of Functional Compliance Status: Police and the African-American police officers' association, community members and civil rights organization Implementation Summary: representatives. With the cooperation of the City, DOJ reviewed all firearms investigations completed between 1995 and June 2001, every complaint filed and reduced to writing against the CPD between January 1998 and June 2001 alleging excessive force, and all uses of force from June 2000 to June 2001. In addition to analyzing CPD use of force incidents, DOJ examined CPD's Compliance Documentation: management practices related to use of force, including its use of force policies, training curriculum, supervisory procedures, and disciplinary system.

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status DOJ has conducted an investigation Due Date: N/A pursuant to Section 14141 into the CPD's use of force and related Primary Compliance Status: management practices. During the Functional Compliance Status: investigation, in keeping with the Attorney General's pledge to provide technical assistance, DOJ made Implementation Summary: recommendations for changes in the CPD's polices and procedures and the City's internal mechanism for resolving citizen complaints. At the close of the investigation, DOJ determined that the jurisdictional requirements of the statute were Compliance Documentation: sufficiently satisfied to permit the parties to enter into this Agreement. As a result of the City's and the CPD's high level of voluntary cooperation and willingness to implement meaningful change without need for litigation, DOJ believes this Agreement, rather than contested litigation, represents the best opportunity to address DOJ's concerns. Neither the City's entry into this Agreement, nor its decision to implement changes to CPD policies and procedures is an admission by the City, the CPD or any officer or employee of either, that any of them has engaged in any unconstitutional, illegal or otherwise improper activities or conduct, which the City and the CPD specifically deny.

MOA

MOA			
Iter	n # MOA Paragraph	Status	
5	Nothing in this Agreement is intended	Due Date:	N/A
	to alter the lawful authority of the		
	CPD to use reasonable and necessary	Primary Compliance Status:	
	force, effect arrests and file		
	charges, conduct searches or make	Functional Compliance Status:	
	seizures, or otherwise fulfill its law		
	enforcement obligations in a manner		
	consistent with the requirements of	Implementation Summary:	
	the Constitutions and laws of the United States and the State of Ohio.		
	onited states and the state of onio.		
1		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status Nothing in this Agreement is intended Due Date: N/A to: a) alter the Collective Bargaining Agreement; or b) impair the collective Primary Compliance Status: bargaining rights of employees under State and local law. Nothing in this Functional Compliance Status: Agreement is intended to amend or supercede any provision of State or Implementation Summary: local law, including the Charter of the City. DOJ and the City have attempted to draft this Agreement to avoid impairing the rights of the Queen City Lodge No. 69 of the Fraternal Order of Police under the Compliance Documentation: Collective Bargaining Agreement. However, a determination that any such right is impaired will not excuse the City and the CPD from a failure to implement any provision of this Agreement.

MOA			
Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
7	This Agreement will constitute the	Due Date:	N/A
	entire integrated agreement of the		
	parties. No prior drafts or prior or	Primary Compliance Status:	
	contemporaneous communications, oral		
	or written, will be relevant or	Functional Compliance Status:	
	admissible for purposes of determining		
	the meaning of any provisions herein		
	in any litigation or any other	Implementation Summary:	
	proceeding.		
		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status N/A Due Date: This Agreement is binding upon the parties, by and through their Primary Compliance Status: officials, agents, employees, and successors. This Agreement is Functional Compliance Status: enforceable only by the parties. No person or entity is intended to be a Implementation Summary: third-party beneficiary of the provisions of this Agreement for purposes of any civil, criminal, or administrative action, and accordingly, no person or entity may assert any claim or right as a beneficiary or protected class under this Agreement. This Agreement is not intended to impair or expand the right of any person or organization to seek relief against the City or its officials, employees or agents for their conduct or the conduct of CPD officers; accordingly, it does not alter legal standards governing any such claims, including those under Ohio law. This Agreement does not authorize, nor will it be construed to authorize, access to any City, CPD or DOJ documents, except as expressly provided by this Agreement, by persons or entities other than DOJ, the City, Compliance Documentation: and the Monitor.

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

Other than expenses associated with the Monitor, where compliance with the terms of this Agreement would require expenditure by the City of funds in excess of \$100,000, DOJ agrees to provide technical assistance in locating and obtaining such funding. The City recognizes that this Agreement will impose significant costs on the City, for which it will be responsible, and which it currently estimates an annual maximum cost of approximately \$1.5 million and a one-time capital cost ranging from \$3-7 million. The City recognizes that cost-effective implementation of the Agreement will enhance its effectiveness. Therefore, DOJ agrees to assist the City's efforts to implement the Agreement in a costeffective manner and will consider requests to modify the Agreement where such modifications will satisfy the goals, purposes and interests of this Agreement at a substantially reduced cost.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

A project proposal has been developed and sent to the Department of Justice for review. The proposal seeks funding and technical assistance for the following DOJ initiatives:

- 1. Records/Data Management System
- 2. Risk Management System
- 3. In-Car Camera Enhancements
- 4. Monitor Costs
- 5. Compliance Coordinator Costs
- Civilian Complaint Authority (CCA) Costs
- Consultant and Development of the Evaluation Surveys
- Legal Fees

In correspondence dated October 23, 2002, DOJ informed the City \$175,000 would be made available to the CPD in support of a consultant to analyze existing data systems, and to conduct a needs assessment for developing and implementing the Risk Management System and Employee Tracking Solution.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

SECTION THREE

GENERAL POLICIES

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

The CPD will create a cadre of specially trained officers available at all times to respond to incidents involving persons who are mentally ill. These specially trained officers will assume primary responsibility for responding to incidents involving persons who are mentally ill. They will be called to the scene of any incident involving a person, who is mentally ill, unless the need for fast action makes this impossible. These officers will respond to any radio run known to involve a person who is mentally ill (including escapes from facilities or institutions). The officers selected for this training should be highly motivated volunteers and should receive high level, multidisciplinary intervention training, with a particular emphasis on deescalation strategies. This training will include instruction by mental health practitioners and alcohol and substance abuse counselors. The CPD will develop and implement a plan to form a partnership with mental health care professionals that makes such professionals available to assist the CPD on-site with interactions with persons who are mentally ill.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

Responsibility for implementation was assigned to the Mental Health Response Team Sub-Committee. The committee solicited volunteers via the Department Staff Notes dated 5/13/02. Ninety officers were selected to receive additional training. Working with medical and mental health professionals, the Training Section developed a fortyhour training course for the selected officers. The first session of the training took place June 17-21, 2002. The second session took place June 24-28, 2002. Once the officers graduated from the program, they were each entered into the dispatch system (CAD) as a Mental Health Response Officer. Accordingly, the Police Communications Section (PCS) has developed and adopted a Standard Operating Procedure to establish a protocol of dispatch for the officers. Procedure 12.110, Handling Suspected Mentally Ill Individuals and Potential Suicides, and Procedure 12.180, Use of Crisis Negotiations Team, have been revised to include the Mental Health Response Officers. The revised procedures and the deployment of the MHRT officers became effective 7/1/02.

During this reporting period, MHRT officers responded on 925 incidents involving mentally ill individuals.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.110 and 12.180

MOA

The # MOA Paragraph

The CPD will develop and adopt a foot pursuit policy. This policy will require officers to consider particular factors in determining whether a foot pursuit is appropriate. These factors will include, inter alia, the offense committed by the subject, whether the subject is armed, the location (i.e., lighting, officer familiarity), and the ability to apprehend the subject at a later date. The policy will emphasize alternatives to foot pursuits, including area containment, surveillance, and obtaining

reinforcements.

Status
Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: C

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee drafted new Procedure 12.536, Foot Pursuits. The new procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, which was held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists have received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.536 became effective 9/2/02.

Compliance Documentation:

SECTION FOUR

USE OF FORCE POLICY

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
12a	The CPD will revise and augment its use of force policies to clearly	Due Date: 7/12/02
	define terms;	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
		Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545. The provisions of paragraph 12a are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received ttraining on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.
		Compliance Documentation:
		See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

_	-

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
12b	The CPD will revise and augment its use	Due Date: 7/12/02	
	of force policies to define force as		
	that term is defined in the Agreement;	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance	
		Functional Compliance Status: Compliance	
		Implementation Summary:	
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The definition of force as stated in the Agreement is incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy trough the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.	
		Compliance Documentation:	
		See Appendix for Procedure 12.545	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 12c | The CPD will revise and augment its use Due Date: 7/12/02 of force policies to incorporate a use of force model that relates the force Primary Compliance Status: Compliance options available to officers to the types of conduct by individuals that Functional Compliance Status: Compliance would justify the use of such force and teaches that disengagement, area Implementation Summary: containment, surveillance, waiting out The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee a subject, summoning reinforcements or calling in specialized units may be an revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use appropriate response to a situation; of Force. The provisions in paragraph 12c are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 12d The CPD will revise and augment its use 7/12/02 Due Date: of force policies to re-enforce that, whenever possible, individuals should Primary Compliance Status: Compliance be allowed to submit to arrest before force is used; Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions in paragraph 12d are incorporated in this procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 12e | The CPD will revise and augment its use 7/12/02 Due Date: of force policies to advise the use of excessive force will subject officers Primary Compliance Status: Compliance to discipline, possible criminal prosecution, and/or civil liability; Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions in paragraph 12e are incorporated in this procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 12f | The CPD will revise and augment its use 7/12/02 Due Date: of force policies to explicitly prohibit the use of choke holds and Primary Compliance Status: Compliance similar carotid holds except where deadly force is authorized; Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions in paragraph 12f are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP Compliance Documentation:

3.4	_	

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 12g The CPD will revise and augment its use 7/12/02 Due Date: of force policies to remove the term "restraining force" from the CPD's Primary Compliance Status: Compliance Policies and Procedures. Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The term "restraining force" has been deleted from the revised procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 13 The CPD will make available proposed Due Date: 7/12/02 policy revisions to the Community Councils and other appropriate community Primary Compliance Status: Compliance groups, for their review, comment and Functional Compliance Status: education. Such policy revisions will also be published on the CPD's website to allow comments to be provided Compliance, Pending Community Review and Consultation with Collaborative Parties. directly to the CPD. Implementation Summary: Responsibility for implementation assigned to the Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee. The Information Technology Management Section (ITMS) has posted CPD Policies and Procedures on the Department website at www.cincinnatipolice.org. In the Main Menu portion of the site, visitors are able to register any comments, suggestions, or questions. is currently implementing a process to post Staff Notes on the website that reflect procedure and policy changes. Neighborhood Officers are responsible for informing community councils and groups about access to CPD policies and procedures. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
14a	The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to clearly define	Due Date: 7/12/02
	all terms;	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
		Functional Compliance Status:
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant provisions described in paragraph 14a are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.
		Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:
		Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP
		Compliance Documentation:

MOA

MOA Paragraph

The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to limit the use of chemical spray, including the use of chemical spray against a crowd or a group of individuals, to only those cases in which such force is necessary to protect the officer, the subject, or another party from physical harm, or is necessary to effect the arrest of an

the escape of that subject;

actively resisting subject, or prevent

Status

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14b are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, which is to be held in July, 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

During this reporting period, there were four incidents in which chemical irritant was deployed in a crowd setting.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 14-1 for a summary of incidents involving the deployment of chemical irritant into a crowd.

Chemical Irritant Summary

(Group Deployments)

(7/12/02 - 10/12/02)

Tracking Number	Date	Location	Circumstances
20020459	7/27/02	1128 Main Street	Active Assault
20020463 -	7/30/02	2138 Auburn Avenue	Active Assault
20020465			/Resisting Arrest
20020505	8/17/02	10 Fountain Square Plaza	Active Assault
20020510	8/17/02	1752 Seymour	Active Assault

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

14c The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to provide that chemical spray may be used only when verbal commands and other techniques that do not require the use of force would be ineffective, or where issuing verbal commands would present a danger to the officer or others;

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14c are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 14-2 for a summary of those incidents involving chemical irritant relative to verbal commands issued.

Table 14-2

Chemical Irritant Summary

(Verbal Commands)

(7/12/02 - 10/12/02)

Total Number of Incidents	93
Number of Incidents – Voice Commands Issued	93
Number of Incidents – Subject "Asked"	56
Number of Incidents – Subject "Told"	72
Number of Incidents – Subject "Demanded"	82
Average Number of Commands Issued Per Incident	4

MOA

MOA Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
14d	The CPD will revise and augment its	Due Date: 7/12/02
	chemical spray policy to provide that absent exigent circumstances, the approval of a supervisor is required	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	any time chemical spray is used against a crowd;	Functional Compliance Status:
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14d are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.
		Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:
		Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP
		During this reporting period, there were four incidents in which chemical irritant was deployed in a crowd setting. All of these incidents involved exigent circumstances.
		Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

irritant into a crowd.

See Table 14-1 for a summary of incidents involving the deployment of chemical $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

MOA

MOA Paragraph

The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to require, that unless it would present a danger to the officers or others, a verbal warning to the subject that chemical spray will be used must be issued prior to use, and that, where feasible, the officer will defer using chemical spray a reasonable time to allow the subject to comply with the warning;

Status

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14e are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

During this reporting period, there were ninety-three incidents in which chemical irritant was utilized. Verbal commands were used in conjunction with all of the incidents reported.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 14-2 for a summary of those incidents involving chemical irritant relative to the verbal commands issued.

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

MOA

MOA Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
14f	7 -	Due Date: 7/12/02
	chemical spray policy to require	
	officers to aim chemical spray only at	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	the target's face and upper torso;	
		Functional Compliance Status:
		Compliance Deading Community Design
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14f are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the Inservice Training for supervisors, held in July, 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.
		Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:
		Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP
		Compliance Documentation:

M	\sim	Δ

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 14g 7/12/02 The CPD will revise and augment its Due Date: chemical spray policy to provide quidance regarding the proper duration Primary Compliance Status: Compliance of a burst of chemical spray and regulate the distance from which it is Functional Compliance Status: applied; Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment provisions outlined in paragraph 14g are incorporated in this procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP The Use of Force Training Curriculum adopted by the Training Section addresses the proper application of chemical irritant.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to require that, absent exceptional circumstances, officers will offer to decontaminate every sprayed subject within twenty minutes of the application of the spray

Status Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant decontamination provisions outlined in paragraph 14h are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 14-3 for a summary of decontamination efforts for incidents involving the use of chemical irritant.

Table 14-3

Chemical Irritant Summary

(Decontamination)

(7/12/02 - 10/12/02)

Total Number of Incidents	93
Number of Incidents – Subject Decontaminated	76
Number of Incidents – Subject Not Decontaminated	7
Number of Incidents – Subject Refused Decontamination	9
Number of Incidents – Decontamination Not Reported	1

MOA

14i # MOA Paragraph

14i The CPD will revise and augment its
 chemical spray policy to require that
 officers request medical response or
 medical assistance for sprayed subjects
 when they complain of continued effects
 after having been de-contaminated, or
 they indicate that they have a pre existing medical condition (e.g.,
 asthma, emphysema, bronchitis, heart
 ailment, etc.) that may be aggravated

by chemical spray.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment provisions outlined in paragraph 14i are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph 14j The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to provide that officers may not keep a sprayed subject in a face down position any longer than necessary to end the threat of harm or

escape and handcuff the subject.

Status Due Date:

Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment provisions outlined in paragraph 14j are incorporated in this procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

14k The CPD will revise and augment its chemical spray policy to provide that chemical spray may be used on a restrained individual only when, absent the use of spray, the subject or another person is likely to suffer injury, or escape.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14k are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

During this reporting period, there have been twenty-four incidents in which chemical irritant has been utilized on a restrained individual.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 14-4 for a summary of incidents involving the use of chemical irritant on a restrained subject.

Chemical Irritant Summary

(Restrained Individuals) (7/12/02 - 10/12/02)

Tracking	Date	Location	Circumstances
Number			

20020427	7/14/02	1005 Gilbert Avenue	Assault on Officer(s)
20020429	7/14/02	1436 Walnut Street	Assault on Officer(s)
20020438	7/13/02	727 W. Martin Luther	Resisted Arrest
		King Dr.	
20020454	7/26/02	700 W. Pete Rose Way	Resisted Arrest
20020460	7/29/02	1865 Queen City Ave.	Attempted to harm self
20020472	8/1/02	223 W. McMicken Street	Assault on Officer(s)
20020482	8/10/02	2300 Glenway Avenue	Attempted to harm self
20020502	8/14/02	2600 Vine Street	Attempted Escape
20020503	8/15/02	2204 Williamsburg Drive	Attempted Escape
20020504	8/15/02	1000 Sycamore Street	Resisted Arrest
20020507	8/17/02	3642 W. Eighth Street	Attempted to harm self
20020508	8/17/02	1633 Westwood Avenue	Attempted to harm self
20020509	8/17/02	116 W. Fourteenth Street	Resisting Arrest
20020511	8/19/02	4897 Reading Road	Attempted Escape
20020535	8/30/02	1000 Sycamore Street	Assault on Officer(s)
20020550	9/2/02	934 Neeb Road	Resisting Arrest
20020551	9/2/02	2316 Central Parkway	Attempted to harm self
20020552	9/4/02	2500 Kemper Road	Resisting Arrest
20020555	9/8/02	1405 Walnut Street	Assault on Officer(s)
20020557	9/8/02	138 Glencoe Place	Assault on Officer(s)
20020576	9/22/02	3666 Laclede Avenue	Attempted to harm self
20020579	9/13/02	4308 Kellogg Avenue	Attempted to harm self
20020580	9/13/02	1000 Sycamore Street	Attempted to harm self
20020585	9/29/02	520 Vine Street	Attempted Escape

Shaded portions of the table indicate those incidents covered under the reporting and deployment requirements of revised Procedure 12.545 implemented on 9/2/02.

MOA

15 # MOA Paragraph

15 The CPD will require that all uses of
 spray against a restrained person be
 investigated by the officer(s)'
 supervisor, who must take tape-recorded
 statements of all witnesses, including
 the officer(s), subject(s) and third
 parties. These investigations will be
 reviewed, evaluated and signed by the
 Inspections Unit of the CPD.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant reporting requirements outlined in paragraph 15 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 14-4 for reporting summary of chemical irritant deployment against restrained persons

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 16 To limit the use of chemical spray Due Date: 7/12/02 against subjects who are restrained in a police car, the CPD will ensure that it Primary Compliance Status: Compliance has sufficient equipment to properly Functional Compliance Status: restrain subjects, and train officers to use that equipment when necessary to Compliance, Pending Training restrain subjects. Implementation Summary: To limit the use of chemical spray, CPD marked beat cars are equipped with the following restraint features: Prisoner compartment safety belts. 2. Prisoner compartment lap bar with restraining belt. 3. Sliding Plexiglas partitions between operator and prisoner compartments. Rear window and lock disablement Procedure 12.600, Prisoners; Securing, Transporting, and Handling, provides direction for the proper transportation of prisoners and the use of the restraint equipment. The Training Section offers training on the restraint equipment during new officer training at the Police Academy. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.600

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 17 The CPD will provide regular in-service Due Date: 7/12/02 training on the proper amount of spray to use, how to deliver spray Primary Compliance Status: Compliance effectively, and the proper anatomical targets for chemical spray. Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: During the Annual Firearms Qualification, the Training Unit provides training on the proper deployment of chemical irritant. The training focuses on the following skills: 1. The facial area being the desired anatomical target. 2. Proper use of voice commands and inflection before and during application. 3. Effective application distances and 4. Maintenance and replacement factors. The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The chemical irritant deployment restrictions outlined in paragraph 14f and 14g are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02. Compliance Documentation:

Due Date:

MOA

Item #

The CPD will maintain an accounting of the number of chemical spray canisters annually distributed to and utilized by each officer.

MOA Paragraph

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

Personnel are issued individual canisters of chemical irritant upon the completion of a Form 630, Equipment Requisition Report. The Form 630 is completed by the employee's supervisor and routed through the appropriate chain of command. When the Form 630 is received at the Supply Unit, the employee is issued the new canister of chemical irritant. From the information contained in the Form 630, the Supply Unit enters the following information into their electronic records system:

- 1. Employee's identity and I.D. number
- 2. Identity of issuing supervisor
- 3. Date chemical irritant was issued
- 4. Reason for request

The information will be maintained and, when available, entered into the future Employee Management System (MOA 57-64)

Since the tracking process began in August of 2002 until the end of this reporting period (10/12/02), the following chemical irritant disbursement information is available:

Replacement Reason	Number
Expired Usage Defective Damaged	43 2 2 1
Total Canisters Replaced	48

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOH			
Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
19	The CPD will periodically review current	Due Date: 7/12/02	
	research regarding the choice of		
	chemical spray and consider the	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance	
	effectiveness and risk of injury to		
	subjects, in determining the optimal	Functional Compliance Status: Compliance	
	chemical spray for CPD usage.		
		Implementation Summary:	
		The Inspections Section conducts review o chemical irritant as technology and research warrants. New and existing product lines of chemical irritant will continue to be reviewed to determine effectiveness, safety, and health considerations.)f
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
20a	The CPD will revise and augment,	Due Date: 7/12/02	
	subject to DOJ review and approval, its		
	canine policies. The CPD will continue	Primary Compliance Status:	
to make significant improvements in its			
	canine operations, including the	Pending DOJ Review and Approval.	
	introduction of an improved handler-		
	controlled alert curriculum and the use	Functional Compliance Status: Pending	
	of new canines, consistent with the		
	CPD's policy as revised by this	Implementation Summary:	
	Agreement;		
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
20b	The policy will limit off-leash canine	Due Date: 7/12/02
	deployments, searches and other	
	instances where there is otherwise a	Primary Compliance Status:
	significant risk of a canine bite to a	
	suspect, to searches of commercial	Pending DOJ Review and Approval
	buildings or instances in which the	
	suspect is wanted for an offense of	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
	violence or reasonably is suspected to	
	have a weapon;	Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A
		compitance bocumentation. N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 20c The policy will require canine officers Due Date: to have approval from an immediate supervisor before the canine can be Primary Compliance Status: deployed, except in cases involving only an on-leash article search. If the Pending DOJ Review and Approval handler is unable to contact a canine unit supervisor, approval must be Functional Compliance Status: Pending sought from a supervisor in charge at Implementation Summary: the scene before the canine can be deployed. The approving supervisor will not serve as a canine handler in the deployment; Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 20d The policy will require loud and clear Due Date: 7/12/02 announcement(s), appropriate for the Primary Compliance Status: particular deployment area at issue, that a canine will be deployed and advising the suspect to surrender and Pending DOJ Review and Approval remain still if approached by a canine. Functional Compliance Status: Pending The policy will require a sufficient interval between announcement and deployment to allow the suspect to Implementation Summary: surrender; Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
20e	The policy will require that canine	Due Date: 7/12/02	
	handlers will not allow a canine to		
	bite a suspect except where the suspect	Primary Compliance Status:	
	poses a risk of imminent danger (i.e.,		
	is armed with a weapon or other	Pending DOJ Review and Approval	
	instrumentality capable of producing		
	significant bodily injury) to the	Functional Compliance Status: Pending	
	handler or others or is actively		
	resisting or escaping;	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance December to the	
		Compliance Documentation:	
		See Tables 20-1 and 20-2 for a summary	
		<u> </u>	OI
		canine deployment	

Table 20-1

Canine Deployment Summary

(7/12/02 to 10/12/02) *

Total Number of Individuals Located Total Bites	29 5
Total Number of Individuals Located	29
Total Deployments	190
Tracking Deployments	97
Running Apprehension Deployments	1
Building Search Deployments	68
Article Search Deployments	15

^{*} Entries into the Canine Database began in August 2002

Canine Bite Summary

(7/12/02 to 10/12/02)

Date / Canine Tracking Number	Location	Offense Investigated	On/Off Lead	Circumstances	Medical Treatment
8/29/02 70000062	2926 Euclid Ave.	Aggravated Robbery (Armed)	On	Active Escape	Children's Hospital
9/2/02 70000084	334 Shiloh Ave.	Burglary (Residence)	On	Active Escape	University Hospital
9/8/02 70000091	3611 Woodburn Ave.	Breaking and Entering (Commercial)	Off	Active Escape / Armed	University Hospital
9/12/02 70000106	1507 Section Rd.	Receiving Stolen Property	On	Active Escape	Children's Hospital
9/14/02 70000111	1829 Provincial Ct.	Aggravated Robbery	On	Active Escape	University Hospital

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

20f The policy will require that in all circumstances where a canine is permitted to bite or apprehend a suspect by biting, the handler will call off the dog at the first possible moment the canine can be safely released, taking into account that the average person will struggle if being seized or confronted by a canine and the policy will specify that struggling alone, will not preclude the release of the canine. The policy will prohibit canines from biting nonresistant subjects. Whenever a canine-related injury occurs, immediate medical treatment either by rescue ambulance, transportation to an emergency room, or admission to a hospital must be sought;

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Pending DOJ Review and Approval

Functional Compliance Status: Pending

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation:

See Tables 20-1 and 20-2 for a summary of canine deployment

3.5	\sim	Τ.
M		Α.

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
20g	The policy will require the CPD to track canine deployments and canine	Due Date: 7/12/02	
	apprehensions and to calculate and	Primary Compliance Status:	
	track canine bite ratios on a monthly basis to assess its canine unit and	Pending DOJ Review and Approval	
	individual canine teams.	Functional Compliance Status: Pending,	
		Implementation Summary:	
		Although the policy is still in the review process, CPD has begun to track canine deployment and bites.	
		Compliance Documentation:	
		See Tables 20-1 and 20-2 for a summary of	
		canine deployment	

Status

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph

20h The CPD will include as an element of Due Date: 7/12/02 the risk management system described in Primary Compliance Status: this Agreement, canine bite ratios, and provide for the review, pursuant to the protocol for that system, of the Pending DOJ Review and Approval performance of any handler or canine Functional Compliance Status: Pending whose bite ratio exceeds 20 percent during a 6 month period, or the entire unit if the unit's bite ratio exceeds Implementation Summary: that threshold;

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

21a The CPD will revise and augment its beanbag shotgun and forty-millimeter foam rounds policy to clearly define all terms;

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 21a are contained in this new procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July of 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 21b The CPD will revise and augment its 7/12/02 Due Date: beanbag shotgun and forty-millimeter foam rounds policy to provide that the Primary Compliance Status: Compliance weapons may only be used to subdue or incapacitate a subject to prevent Functional Compliance Status: imminent physical harm to the officer or another person; Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 21b are contained in this new procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 28-1 for a summary of force incidents involving the use of special or

impact weapons.

Status

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph

The CPD will revise and augment its 7/12/02 21c Due Date: beanbag shotgun and forty-millimeter foam rounds policy to prohibit the use Primary Compliance Status: Compliance of the weapons to prevent theft or minor vandalism; Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 21c are contained in this new procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

21d The CPD will revise and augment its beanbag shotgun and forty-millimeter foam rounds policy to prohibit the use of the weapons against a crowd absent the ability to target a specific individual who poses an immediate threat to cause imminent physical harm; and absent the ability to reasonably assure that other individuals in the crowd who pose no threat of violence will not be struck by the weapons;

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 21d are contained in this new procedure. The revised procedure was included in the Inservice Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

MOA Paragraph Item # 21e 7/12/02 The CPD will revise and augment its Due Date: beanbag shotgun and forty-millimeter foam rounds policy to provide that the Primary Compliance Status: Compliance use of the weapons can be inappropriate even if the only Functional Compliance Status: alternative is to let the subject Compliance, Pending Community Review escape; Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 21e are contained in this new procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Lt. Dave Bailey CPD Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty. Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep. Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty. Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep. Mr. Roger Webster FOP Compliance Documentation:

Due Date:

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph 21f The CPD will revise and augment its beanbag shotgun and forty-millimeter foam rounds policy to require, absent exigent circumstances, that a supervisor approve any use of a beanbag shotgun or forty millimeter

round against a crowd.

Status

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 21f are contained in this new procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph The CPD will strictly enforce the provision of its current policy regarding beanbag shotguns and forty millimeter rounds that limits the number of simultaneous deployments of beanbags or forty millimeter rounds against a single individual. The CPD will require that use of force reports regarding beanbag or forty-millimeter foam rounds estimate the distance between the officer and the subject.

Status

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 22 are contained in this new procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #

Absent exigent circumstances, in cases in which the distance between the officer and the target makes it practical, verbal warnings will be given before a beanbag shotgun or foam round is used. Where possible, an appropriate interval should be required between the warning and the use of the beanbag shotgun or foam round.

MOA Paragraph

Status

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate this information. The provisions outlined in paragraph 23 are contained in this new procedure. revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

SECTION FIVE

INCIDENT DOCUMENTATION, INVESTIGATION, AND REVIEW

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

The CPD will require all uses of force (except canine deployments that do not lead to a canine bite) to be reported in the same manner as the CPD currently reports incidents it classifies as uses of force, except to the extent those reporting requirements have been modified by this Agreement. The use of force report form will indicate each and every type of force that was used, and require the evaluation of each use of force. Use of force reports will continue to include a supervisor's narrative description of the events preceding the use of force, and include the officer(s)' narrative description of events and the officer(s)' audio taped statement. The CPD will implement an automated document management system that will allow supervisors to access all use of force information according to multiple variables, including by incident, subject, officer and type of force used. In regard to canine deployments that do not result in a bite, the canine handler will fill out a form that provides a narrative explaining the circumstances that led to the deployment. The canine supervisor will review the narrative and evaluate whether the deployment complied with CPD policy and whether the handler used proper tactics and controls. This form will also be used to track and calculate bite ratios, as required by paragraph 20(h).

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

- Compliance Use of Force Reporting
- Pending DOJ Review and Provision of Technical Assistance as to Canine Policy and Risk Management System

Functional Compliance Status:

- Compliance Use of Force Reporting
- Pending Canine Policy and Risk Management System

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedures 12.545, Use of Force. The procedure incorporates the reporting and documentation provisions outlined in paragraph 24. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program delivered by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective on 9/2/02.

The Canine Unit requires officers to fill out a report outlining details of deployment. A canine supervisor reviews the report and the information is entered into an electronic database maintained by the Canine Unit. Bite ratios are generated from this database.

Use of Force information will be included in the future Risk Management System (MOA 57-64)

Compliance Documentation:

Use of Force Summary

(7/12/02 - 10/12/02)

Chemical Irritant	93	
Other Physical Force	52	
PR-24 Baton	9	
Canine	5	
Beanbag Shotgun (Animal)	1	
Pepperball Gun	1	
Taser	1	
Injury to Prisoner	66	
Total Incidents Reported	228	

MOA
Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

25	In the event the CPD implements a	Due Date:	7/12/02
	reporting requirement pertaining to		
	incidents in which an officer points	Primary Compliance Status:	N/A
	his/her firearm, compliance with that		
	reporting requirement will become an	Functional Compliance Status:	N/A
	enforceable obligation of this		
	Agreement, and the data reported will	Implementation Summary:	N/A
	be included in the risk management		
	system described in this Agreement.		
		Compliance Documentation:	N/A
		Compilance Documentation.	N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph The CPD will continue to require officers to notify their supervisor following any use of force or upon the receipt of an allegation of excessive use of force. Supervisors will respond to the scene, examine the subject for injury, and ensure that the subject receives needed medical attention. Incidents will not be investigated by any officer who used force or chemical spray during the incident, whose conduct led to the injury to a prisoner or who authorized the conduct that led to these reportable incidents.

Status

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions of paragraph 26 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

27 CPD supervisors will investigate, evaluate and document each incident giving rise to a use of force or injury to prisoner report for compliance with CPD policy and to evaluate the tactics used by the officer. The documentation will consist of a precise description of the facts and circumstances that either justify or fail to justify the officer's conduct. As part of this investigation, the CPD supervisor will

review the basis for the initial stop

or seizure, and determine whether the

officer's actions in regard to the

stop or seizure were within CPD

policy.

MOA Paragraph

Due Date:

7/12/02

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions of paragraph 27 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Although Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02, the Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to review the DOJ/City MOA is currently conducting a comprehensive review of Use of Force procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Lt. Dave Bailey CPD

Mr. Dan Baker CCA Consultant

Mr. S. Gregory Baker CPD

Lt. Colonel Richard Biehl CPD

Ms. Jennifer Branch CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Mr. Terry Cosgrove Asst. City Solicitor

Ms. Juleana Frierson CA Class Rep.

Mr. Mark Gissiner OMI Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus FOP

Ms. Ayanna Love CA Plaintiffs Atty.

Ms. Iris Roley CA Class Rep.

Mr. Roger Webster FOP

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

IIS will respond to the scene of, and investigate all serious uses of force, and all canine bites, which cause serious injury or hospital admission. The Inspections Unit of the CPD will be required to review and evaluate in writing the investigations of all canine bites (except those causing serious injury or hospital admission), and all uses of a beanbag shotgun, foam round, or baton.

MOA Paragraph

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee. revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions of paragraph 28 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

The following is a summary of the use of special or impact weapons used during this reporting period:

PR-24 Baton	9
Canine	5
Beanbag Shotgun	1
Pepperball Gun	1
Taser	1

One of the above incidents involving the use of the PR-24 baton resulted in serious injury and hospital admission. The Internal Investigations Section is investigating the incident.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

See Table 28-1 for a reporting summary of incidents involving the use of special or impact weapons.

INSPECTIONS SECTION REVIEW

(Canine Bites, PR-24 Baton, Beanbag Shotgun, Foam Round)

7/12/02 to 10/12/02

Tracking Number	Date	Location	Force Type	Inspections Section Review	Hospital Admission
	1	T	1		
20020436	7/14/02	3441 Hallwood Place	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020437	7/12/02	2300 Lehman Road	Pepperball	Yes	No
20020471	7/18/02	1420 Main Street	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020492	7/15/02	1590 Yarmouth Avenue	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020470	7/20/02	2226 Amor Place	Beanbag	Yes	No
20020494	7/23/02	23 Green Street	PR-24 Baton	Internal Inv.	Yes
20020497	8/16/02	618 Vine Street	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020546	8/29/02	2926 Euclid Avenue	Canine	Yes	No
20020547	8/16/02	26 W. Martin Luther King	Taser	Yes	No
20020569	9/8/02	101 East Fifth Street	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020571	9/2/02	334 Shiloh Avenue	Canine	Yes	No
20020603	9/13/02	349 Calhoun Street	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020611	9/20/02	1204 Broadway Street	PR-24 Baton	Pending	No
20020613	9/21/02	412 East Twelfth Street	PR-24 Baton	Yes	No
20020623	9/8/02	3611 Woodburn Avenue	Canine	Yes	No
20020624	9/12/02	1507 Section Road	Canine	Yes	No
20020625	9/14/02	1829 Provincial Court	Canine	Yes	No

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

The CPD will prohibit investigators from asking officers or other witnesses leading questions during use of force investigations that improperly suggest legal justifications for the officer's conduct when such questions are contrary to appropriate law enforcement techniques. In each investigation, the CPD will consider all relevant evidence including circumstantial, direct and physical evidence, as appropriate, and make credibility determinations, if feasible. There will be no automatic preference for an officer's statement over a non-officer's statement, nor will the CPD disregard a witness's statement merely because the witness has some connection to the complainant. The CPD will make efforts to resolve material inconsistencies between witness statements. The CPD will train all of its supervisors on the factors to consider when evaluating complainant or witness credibility.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee. revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, and 15.100, Citizen Complaints. Procedure 12.545 outlines the investigative protocol for use of force investigations while Procedure 15.100 directs the administrative investigative process. provisions of paragraph 29 are incorporated in these procedures. The revised procedures were included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Revised Procedure 15.100 became effective 7/12/02 and 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.

Administrative investigative techniques are incorporated in the New Supervisor's Training Program.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedures 12.545 and 15.100

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

OPD investigators will ensure that all officers who witnessed a use of force or an injury to a prisoner provide a statement regarding the incident. CPD supervisors will ensure that all use of force and injury to prisoner reports identify all officers who were involved in the incident or were on the scene when it occurred. CPD supervisors will ensure that all use of force and injury to prisoner reports indicate whether medical care was provided, and whether the subject refused medical treatment.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee. revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions of paragraph 30 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July, 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.

The Form 18, Use of Force reports, currently requires information regarding medical treatment rendered or a notation of refusal.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

CPD supervisors at a rank of lieutenant or higher will review each investigation conducted by CPD supervisors, identify any deficiencies in those investigations, and require supervisors to correct any deficiencies. CPD supervisors will be held accountable for the quality of their investigations. Appropriate nondisciplinary corrective action and/or disciplinary action will be taken when a supervisor fails to conduct a thorough investigation, fails to properly adjudicate an incident, or neglects to recommend appropriate corrective action.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The provisions of paragraph 31 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective on 9/2/02.

Use of Force investigations are forwarded through the affected bureau chain of command and receive review at all levels. Inspections Section reviews the investigations before final approval is granted.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

In conducting investigations of critical firearm discharges, the CPD will, to the extent possible, ensure that the investigation accounts for all shots, and the locations of all officers who discharged their firearms. The CPD will conduct all appropriate ballistic or crime scene analyses, including gunshot residue or bullet trajectory tests.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised and drafted Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. The provisions of paragraph 32 are incorporated in this procedure. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.550 became effective 9/2/02.

During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

33 The CPD will create a special board to review all critical firearm discharges. The board will review each IIS and CIS investigation of a critical firearm discharge for compliance with CPD policy, as well as for tactical and training implications. The board's review will include CIS and IIS investigative files and interviews of the principal CIS and IIS investigators. Following its review, the board will prepare a report to the Chief of Police. The report will be made a part of the investigation file, and it will include a description of the incident (including all uses of force), a summary and analysis of all relevant evidence, proposed findings, and analysis to support those findings. In particular, the board will determine: a) whether all uses of force during the encounter were consistent with CPD policy and training, b) whether the officer(s) involved employed proper tactics, and c) whether lesser force alternatives reasonably were available. Membership on the board will rotate, but will at least include a member of the CPD command staff, a Training Academy representative, the affected Bureau Commander and an attorney from the City Solicitor's Office.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of:

- 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member
- 2. Training Academy Representative
- 3. Affected Bureau Commander
- 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office

Implementation Summary:

The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. The committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and legal issues. The Shooting Review Board model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. Procedure 12.550 became effective 9/2/02.

During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

The CPD policy that defines the firearm board's role will require the board, absent exceptional circumstances, to review within 90 days of the end of all criminal reviews of the incident, all critical firearm discharges;

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of:

- 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member
- 2. Training Academy Representative
- 3. Affected Bureau Commander
- 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office

Implementation Summary:

The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and legal issues. The Shooting Review Board model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. The 90-day review period is referenced in Section E.1. of Procedure 12.550, which became effective 9/2/02.

During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge

Compliance Documentation:

Status

See Appendix for Procedure 12.550

34	^	70

Item #

MOA Paragraph

34b The CPD policy that defines the 7/12/02 Due Date: firearm board's role will set forth the membership of the board; Primary Compliance Status: Compliance Functional Compliance Status: Compliance, Pending Community Review Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of: 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member 2. Training Academy Representative 3. Affected Bureau Commander 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office Implementation Summary: The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and legal issues. The Shooting Review Board model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. The Board's composition is referenced on page 4 of Procedure 12.550, which became effective 9/2/02. During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph 34c | The CPD policy that defines the firearm board's role will authorize the board to recommend to the Chief of Police that non-disciplinary corrective action be taken;

Status

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of:

- 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member
- Training Academy Representative
- 3. Affected Bureau Commander
- 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office

Implementation Summary:

The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and The Shooting Review Board legal issues. model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. Recommendations by the Board are referenced in section E.3. of Procedure 12.550, which became effective 9/2/02.

During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph The CPD policy that defines the firearm 34d board's role will require the board to act as a quality control mechanism for all shooting or firearm discharge investigations, with responsibility to return to the investigating unit all incomplete or mishandled shooting

or firearm discharge investigations;

Status Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of:

- 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member
- 2. Training Academy Representative
- 3. Affected Bureau Commander
- 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office

Implementation Summary:

The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. The committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and legal issues. The Shooting Review Board model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. The Board's authority to ensure a complete investigation or obtain additional information is referenced on page 4 of Procedure 12.550, which became effective 9/2/02.

During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge

Compliance Documentation:

Status

See Appendix for Procedure 12.550

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph

7/12/02 34e | The CPD policy that defines the Due Date: firearm board's role will charge the board with the authority and Primary Compliance Status: Compliance responsibility to recommend to the Chief of Police investigative Functional Compliance Status: protocols and standards for all Compliance, Pending Community Review critical firearm discharge investigations; Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of: 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member 2. Training Academy Representative 3. Affected Bureau Commander 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office Implementation Summary: The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and The Shooting Review Board legal issues. model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. The Board's authority to recommend procedural changes is referenced in E.3. of Procedure 12.550, which became effective 9/2/02. During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a critical firearm discharge Compliance Documentation:

Status

critical firearm discharge

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.550

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph

7/12/02 34f | The CPD policy that defines the Due Date: firearm board's role will require the board annually to review each critical Primary Compliance Status: Compliance firearm discharge to detect patterns and/or problems and to report its Functional Compliance Status: findings and recommendations to the Chief of Police; Compliance, Pending Community Review Membership on the Shooting Review Board will consist of: 1. C.P.D.Command Staff Member 2. Training Academy Representative 3. Affected Bureau Commander 4. Attorney from the Solicitor's Office Implementation Summary: The item was addressed by the Police Shooting Review Sub-Committee. committee was selected and the board model was developed. A case management system was devised. The sub-committee met with the Prosecutor's Office and the Office of Municipal Investigations to discuss the implementation process and legal issues. The Shooting Review Board model was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors. The function of the review board is incorporated in Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel. The annual review of critical firearm discharges to detect patterns or problems is referenced on page 4 of Procedure 12.550, which became effective 9/2/02. During this reporting period, there were no incidents or investigations involving a

SECTION SIX

CITIZEN COMPLAINT PROCESS

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

35 The City and the CPD will develop and implement a program to inform persons that they may file complaints regarding the performance of any officer. This program will include distribution of complaint forms, fact sheets, informational posters, and public service announcements that describe the citizen complaint process.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Public Service Announcements and Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has developed a public information program to inform citizens on how to provide the Department with both positive and negative feedback regarding officer performance. The program began with a media blitz initiated by the Public Information Office on 7/12/02. Informational brochures (attached) were produced and distributed to the public areas designated in MOA paragraph #36. Public Service Announcements are still in the developmental stage.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

The City will make complaint forms and informational materials available at City Hall, CCA, all CPD district stations, libraries, the internet, and, upon request, to community groups and community centers. At each CPD district station, the CPD will permanently post a placard describing the complaint process and include the relevant phone numbers. The CPD will require all officers to carry informational brochures and complaint forms in their vehicles at all times while on duty. If a citizen objects to an officer's conduct, that officer will inform the citizen of his or her right to make a complaint. Officers will not discourage any person from making a complaint.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has developed a public information program to inform citizens on how to provide the Department with both positive and negative feedback regarding officer performance. The program began with a media blitz initiated by the Public Information Office on 7/12/02. Informational brochures were produced and distributed to the public areas designated in the provision. Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, has been revised to reflect the provisions of paragraph #36 and was included in the In-Service training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

An ongoing inspection process has been implemented to ensure CPD vehicles are equipped with a supply of the informational materials and complaint forms. The Vehicle Inspection Report, Form 427 (attached), documents the bi-weekly inspection and inventory process.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

Complaints may be filed in writing or verbally, in person or by mail, telephone (or TDD), facsimile or electronic mail. The duty officer at the front desk of each district station will be authorized to take complaints, including third-party complaints, which persons may file at any district station. Complaint intake officers may describe facts that bear upon a complainant's demeanor and physical condition but may not express opinions regarding his/her mental competency or veracity. A complaint form will be completed each time a person attempts to file a complaint as described herein, except where a complaint asserts only the individual's contention of innocence of a charge, without any allegation of misconduct by the officer, in which circumstance the complainant should be advised to seek judicial redress through established court procedures. Each complaint will be resolved in writing. Upon receipt, each complaint will be assigned a unique identifier, which will be provided to the complainant. Each complaint will be tracked according to the basis for the complaint (e.g., excessive force, discourtesy, improper search, etc.).

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has developed a public information program to inform citizens on how to provide the Department with both positive and negative feedback regarding officer performance. The program began with a media blitz initiated by the Public Information Office on 7/12/02. Informational brochures were produced and distributed to the public areas designated in MOA paragraph #36. Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, has been revised to reflect the provisions of paragraph #37 and was included in the In-Service training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section

Once received, the complaint information is entered into a database maintained by the Internal Investigations Section and assigned a unique tracking number.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

Status

0.6			- / /
38	Copies of all allegations of misconduct against the CPD filed with the CPRP,	Due Date:	7/12/02
	OMI, CCA or the Cincinnati Human Relations Commission will be referred to	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	IIS within five business days.	Functional Compliance Status:	Compliance
		Implementation Summary:	
		The agencies specified in parage been issued a directive by the to refer any allegation of polimisconduct to the Police Chief five business day period. Interprocedures require referral to	City Manager ce within the rnal CPD
		Compliance Documentation: N	/A

 •	٠.	•

MOA			
Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
39	Complaints will be evaluated based on	Due Date:	7/12/02
	a preponderance of the evidence		
	standard, for which the City will	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	develop and implement appropriate		
	training.	Functional Compliance Status:	Compliance
		Implementation Summary:	
		Internal Investigation Section Operating Procedure 104.12 inc preponderance of the evidence	ludes the
		The In-Service Training for sur incorporates training regarding administrative investigation st	g
		Compliance Documentation: 1	N/A

MOA Item #

MOA Paragraph

Status

The CPD will explicitly prohibit from investigating an incident any officer who used force or chemical spray during the incident, whose conduct led to the injury to a prisoner, or who authorized the conduct that led to these reportable incidents.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, incorporates the investigative restrictions outlined in paragraph #40. The revised version of Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

In each investigation, the CPD, OMI and CCA will consider all relevant evidence including circumstantial, direct and physical evidence, as appropriate, and make credibility determinations, if feasible. There will be no automatic preference for an officer's statement over a nonofficer's statement, nor will the CPD, OMI or CCA completely disregard a witness's statement merely because the witness has some connection to the complainant. The CPD, OMI and CCA will make efforts to resolve material inconsistencies between witness statements. The CPD, OMI and CCA will train all of their investigators on the factors to consider when evaluating complainant or witness credibility. The CPD, OMI and CCA will prohibit investigators, during complaint investigations, from improperly asking officers or other witnesses leading questions that improperly suggest legal justifications for the officer's conduct when such questions are contrary to appropriate law enforcement techniques. CPD investigators will ensure that all officers on the scene of an incident provide a statement regarding the incident.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review as well as development of CCA Policies and Procedures consistent with Collaborative Agreement.

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, to reflect the provisions of paragraph #41. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured roll call training program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section

Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedures 104.00, 104.03, 104.10, 104.12, and 108.35 also include direction on the proper investigation and disposition of complaints.

The In-Service Training for supervisors incorporated training regarding the administrative investigation standards.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item #MOA ParagraphStatus42During an investigation, all relevantDue Date:

police activity, including each use of force (i.e., not just the type of force complained about) will be investigated. The investigation will also evaluate any searches or seizures that occurred during the incident. The CPD, OMI or CCA will not close an investigation simply because the complaint is withdrawn or the alleged victim is unwilling or unable to provide medical records or proof of injury; rather, the investigating agency will continue its investigation as necessary to determine whether the original allegation(s) can be resolved. In each investigation, the fact that a complainant pled guilty or was found guilty of an offense will not be considered as evidence whether a CPD officer used or did not use a type of force, nor will it justify discontinuing the investigation.

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending and Community Review, as well as Development of CCA Policies and Procedures consistent with Collaborative Agreement.

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, to reflect the provisions of paragraph #42. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section

Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedures 104.00, 104.03, 104.10, 104.12, and 108.35 also include direction on the proper investigation and disposition of complaints.

The In-Service Training for supervisors incorporated training regarding the administrative investigation standards.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

MOA Paragraph

The complainant will be periodically kept informed regarding the status of the investigation. Upon completion of the investigation, the complainant will be notified of its outcome, including an appropriate statement regarding whether any non-disciplinary corrective action or disciplinary action was taken.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status:

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedures 104.00, 104.03, 104.10, and 108.35 include direction on the proper disposition of complaints. Contact with the complainant is documented in the investigative file.

7/12/02

Compliance

The CCRP process requires frequent contact with complainants. Contact is required during the investigative process and also to schedule and conduct the resolution hearing. Upon conclusion of the process, the complainant is informed of the complaint disposition and related internal action.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

44 Each allegation in an investigation will be resolved by making one of the following dispositions:

- (a.) "Unfounded," where the investigation determined no facts to support that the incident complained of actually occurred;
- (b.) "Sustained," where the person's
 allegation is supported by sufficient
 evidence to determine that the incident
 occurred and the actions of the officer
 were improper;
- (c.) "Not Sustained," where there are insufficient facts to decide whether the alleged misconduct occurred; and
- (d.) "Exonerated," where a preponderance of the evidence shows that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate CPD policies, procedures, or training.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

Section 9.07 of the Department Manual of Rules and Regulations incorporates the closure dispositions outlined in paragraph 44. The revised Manual of Rules and Regulations became effective 7/1/02.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 45 Unit commanders will evaluate each Due Date: investigation of an incident under their command to identify underlying Primary Compliance Status: Compliance problems and training needs. Any such problems or needs will be relayed in Functional Compliance Status: the form of a recommendation to the Compliance, Pending Community Review appropriate CPD entity. Implementation Summary: The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Procedures 12.545, Use of Force, and 15.100, Citizen Complaints, to reflect the provisions of paragraph #45. The revised procedures were included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held July 2002. Procedure 15.100 became effective 7/12/02 and 15.545on 9/2/02. The review and recommendation process will be incorporated in the Risk Management System. (MOA57-64) Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedures 12.545 and 15.100

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

46 IIS will investigate all complaints regarding uses of force, the pointing of firearms at persons, searches and seizures, and discrimination. However, any CPD supervisor can conduct interviews or gather evidence related to the complaint, to be used in IIS's investigation, when they arrive on the scene of the incident giving rise to the complaint and that supervisor's prompt action will preserve evidence or testimony that may not be available if the investigation started later. IIS will determine which complaints it will investigate, pursuant to the standard set forth in this paragraph. Only complaints not falling within the jurisdiction of IIS will be eligible for the CCRP. Nothing in this paragraph is intended to limit or prevent the CPD from conducting a use of force investigation of an incident that is also the subject of a separate complaint investigation by IIS.

Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, to reflect the provisions of paragraph #46. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Procedure 15.100 became effective 7/12/02.

Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedures 104.00, 104.03, 104.10, 104.12, and 108.35 also include direction on the proper investigation and disposition of complaints.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

MOA Paragraph

47 Complaints handled through the CCRP will be fully investigated, in accordance with CPD policy, and adjudicated, prior to a complaint resolution meeting. The complainant's willingness to participate in the resolution meeting, and the outcome of the complaint resolution meeting, will have no bearing on the investigation or the adjudication of that complaint.

Status
Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, to reflect the provisions of paragraph 47. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Procedure 15.100 became effective 7/12/02.

CPD has distributed an outline to supervisors regarding the proper investigation and reporting format of citizen complaints handled through the CCRP Process.

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status

Complaints handled through the CCRP will be investigated by the chain of command. At the conclusion of each investigation, the investigator will prepare a report on the investigation, which will be made a part of the investigation file. The report will include a description of the incident, a summary and analysis of all relevant evidence gathered during the investigation, proposed findings regarding whether the conduct comports with CPD policy, and analysis supporting the findings. Upon completion of the investigation, the investigator will forward the investigation to the district or unit commander, who will review the investigation to ensure that it is complete and that the findings are supported by the evidence. The district or unit commander will order additional investigation when appropriate.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, to reflect the provisions of paragraph 48. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service training for supervisors held in July 2002. Procedure 15.100 became effective 7/12/02.

CPD has distributed an outline to supervisors regarding the proper investigation and reporting format of citizen complaints handled through the CCRP Process.

Compliance Documentation:

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status
9a	In conducting investigations IIS will,	Due Date: 7/12/02
	subject to and in conformance with	
	pplicable law, at a minimum continue	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	tape record or videotape interviews	
	complainants, involved officers,	Functional Compliance Status:
and	witnesses;	
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedure 104.12 to reflect the provisions of paragraph 49.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
49b	In conducting investigations IIS will, subject to and in conformance with	Due Date: 7/12/02
	applicable law, at a minimum whenever practicable and appropriate, conduct	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	<pre>interviews of complainants and witnesses at sites and times</pre>	Functional Compliance Status:
co th	convenient for them, including at their residences or places of	Compliance, Pending Community Review
	business;	Implementation Summary:
		The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedure 104.12 to reflect the provisions of paragraph 49.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

AON			
[tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
49c	In conducting investigations IIS will,	Due Date: 7/12/02	
	subject to and in conformance with		
	applicable law, at a minimum whenever	Primary Compliance Status: Complia	nce
	practical, prohibit group interviews;	Functional Compliance Status:	
		Compliance, Pending Community Review	
		Implementation Summary:	
		The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Comminator revised Internal Investigation Sect. Standard Operating Procedure 104.12 to reflect the provisions of paragraph 49.	
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	

em	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
9d	In conducting investigations IIS will,	Due Date:	7/12/02
	subject to and in conformance with	Duine va Cenalia a Chatan	
	applicable law, at a minimum notify the supervisors of the involved	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	officers of the investigation, as	Functional Compliance Status:	
	appropriate;		
		Compliance, Pending Community	Review
		Implementation Summary:	
		The Citizen Complaint Process has revised Internal Investiga Standard Operating Procedure 1 reflect the provisions of para	ation Section 104.12 to
		Compliance Documentation:	N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
49e		Due Date: 7/12/02
	applicable law, at a minimum interview all appropriate CPD officers,	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	including supervisors;	Functional Compliance Status:
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedure 104.12 to reflect the provisions of paragraph 49.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
19f	In conducting investigations IIS will,	Due Date:	7/12/02
	subject to and in conformance with applicable law, at a minimum collect,	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	preserve, and analyze all appropriate	Trimary compilance seatus.	Compilance
	evidence, including canvassing the scene to locate witnesses and obtain the complainant's medical records, where appropriate;	Functional Compliance Status:	
		Compliance, Pending Community	Review
	where appropriate,	Implementation Summary:	
		The Citizen Complaint Process has revised Internal Investigation Standard Operating Procedure Treflect the provisions of para	ation Section 104.12 to
		Compliance Documentation:	N/A

# MOA Paragraph	Status
In conducting investigations IIS will,	Due Date: 7/12/02
applicable law, at a minimum identify	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
inconsistencies in officer and witness interview statements gathered during the investigation.	Functional Compliance Status:
	Compliance, Pending Community Review
	Implementation Summary:
	The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedure 104.12 to reflect the provisions of paragraph 49.
	Compliance Documentation: N/A
	subject to and in conformance with applicable law, at a minimum identify and report, in writing, all material inconsistencies in officer and witness interview statements gathered during

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 50 At the conclusion of each investigation, the individual responsible for the investigation will prepare a report on the investigation, which will be made a part of the investigation file. The report will include a description of the alleged misconduct and any other misconduct issues identified during the course of the investigation; a summary, and, where appropriate, analysis of all relevant evidence gathered during the investigation; and proposed findings and analysis supporting the findings. Absent exceptional circumstances, IIS will complete all investigations within 90 days after receiving the allegations.

Status
Due Date:

7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee has revised Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedure 104.12, Investigation of Complaint, to reflect the provisions of paragraph 50. The Department also designed and released a template instructing supervisory personnel on proper CCRP format and content.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item #

51 Within 120 days of this Agreement, or later with the agreement of DOJ, CCA will assume all responsibilities specified for it and OMI in this Agreement. Until that time, OMI will

meet all such responsibilities.

MOA Paragraph

Status

Due Date:

8/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Pending Training of CCA Panel and Selection of Executive Director

Functional Compliance Status: Pending

Implementation Summary:

The plan for the implementation of CCA was prepared and a process for the selection of the CCA panel was developed. A press conference was held to announce the application and selection process for the panel on April 25, 2002. Requests for resumes were advertised in the local news media in addition to the various Community Councils. On May 13, 2002, the City approved an ordinance for the establishment of the CCA and on June 26, 2002, the seven panelists selected by the Mayor were approved by City Council.

Although training for the CCA Board has begun, the administrative operating procedures and selection of the staff and Executive Director have yet to be completed. Once these items are achieved and approved, the CCA will become fully functional and assume the investigative duties currently assigned to the Office of Municipal Investigations.

The Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to implement the CCA is currently developing the administrative operational procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Mr. Dan Baker, CCA Consultant

Ms. Jennifer Branch, Plaintiff's Atty.

Mr. Mark Gissiner, OMI Acting Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus, F.O.P Atty.

Ms. Ayanna Love, Plaintiff's Atty.

Mr. Dwight Patton, Class Rep.

Ms. Laura Porter, Asst. Solicitor

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 52 Each complaint, excluding criminal Due Date:

investigations, will be directed to the CCA regardless of where it initially is filed. CCA will have jurisdiction, at a minimum, over all complaints alleging excessive force, the improper pointing of firearms at persons, unreasonable searches and seizures, and discrimination. All allegations within its jurisdiction will be actually investigated by CCA. CCA will accept complaints on behalf of third-parties. The CCA will have a sufficient number of professional investigators to achieve timely completion of all investigations.

Primary Compliance Status:

Pending Training of CCA Panel and Selection of Executive Director

8/12/02

Functional Compliance Status: Pending

Implementation Summary:

The Citizen Complaint Process Sub-Committee developed Internal Investigation Section Standard Operating Procedure 108.35, which incorporates the complaint forwarding provisions of paragraph 52.

Although training for the CCA Board has begun, the administrative operating procedures and selection of the staff and Executive Director have yet to be completed. Once these items are achieved and approved, the CCA will become fully functional and assume the investigative duties currently assigned to the Office of Municipal Investigations.

The Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to implement the CCA is currently developing the administrative operational procedures. The Committee consists of the following members:

Mr. Dan Baker, CCA Consultant

Ms. Jennifer Branch, Plaintiff's Atty.

Mr. Mark Gissiner, OMI Acting Director

Mr. Steve Lazarus, F.O.P. Atty.

Ms. Ayanna Love, Plaintiff's Atty.

Mr. Dwight Patton, Class Rep.

Ms. Laura Porter, Asst. Solicitor

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 8/12/02 53 CPD officers and other City employees Due Date: will be required to submit to administrative questions from the CCA, Primary Compliance Status: Compliance consistent with existing law. In Functional Compliance Status: Compliance addition, the Executive Director of CCA will have reasonable access to city records, documents and employees, Implementation Summary: including employee personnel records and departmental investigation files See Cincinnati Administrative Code Article and reports, consistent with Ohio law. XXVIII, Section 3.B. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 54 The City will develop formal Due Date: 8/12/02 procedures regarding timing, notification, and the interviewing of Primary Compliance Status: witnesses to ensure that parallel investigations conducted by CCA and Pending Development of CCA Operating IIS do not impair the effective Procedures investigation of incidents. Functional Compliance Status: Pending Implementation Summary: The Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to implement the CCA is currently developing the administrative operational procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Mr. Dan Baker, CCA Consultant Ms. Jennifer Branch, Plaintiff's Atty. Mr. Mark Gissiner, OMI Acting Director Mr. Steve Lazarus, F.O.P. Atty. Ms. Ayanna Love, Plaintiff's Atty. Mr. Dwight Patton, Class Rep. Ms. Laura Porter, Asst. Solicitor Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 8/12/02 55 The City will take appropriate action, Due Date: including imposing discipline and providing for non-disciplinary Primary Compliance Status: corrective action where warranted, in regard to each investigation completed Pending Development of CCA Operating by CCA. Procedures Functional Compliance Status: Pending Implementation Summary: The Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to implement the CCA is currently developing the administrative operational procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Mr. Dan Baker, CCA Consultant Ms. Jennifer Branch, Plaintiff's Atty. Mr. Mark Gissiner, OMI Acting Director Mr. Steve Lazarus, F.O.P. Atty. Ms. Ayanna Love, Plaintiff's Atty. Mr. Dwight Patton, Class Rep. Ms. Laura Porter, Asst. Solicitor Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 56 The CCA will complete its investigation Due Date: 8/12/02 within 90 days of the filing of the complaint, provided that the Executive Primary Compliance Status: Director may extend an investigation upon consultation with the CCA Board, Pending Development of CCA Administrative and the City Manager will take Procedures appropriate action within 30 days of the completion of CCA's investigation. Functional Compliance Status: Pending Implementation Summary: The 90-day requirement has been incorporated in Cincinnati Administrative Code Article XXVIII, Section 3.C. The Collaborative Agreement (CA) Committee to implement the CCA is currently developing the administrative operational procedures. The Committee consists of the following members: Mr. Dan Baker, CCA Consultant Ms. Jennifer Branch, Plaintiff's Atty. Mr. Mark Gissiner, OMI Acting Director Mr. Steve Lazarus, F.O.P. Atty. Ms. Ayanna Love, Plaintiff's Atty. Mr. Dwight Patton, Class Rep. Ms. Laura Porter, Asst. Solicitor Compliance Documentation: N/A

SECTION SEVEN

MANAGEMENT AND SUPERVISION

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 11/24/04 57 The CPD will enhance and expand its Due Date: risk management system to include a new computerized relational database Primary Compliance Status: Pending for maintaining, integrating, and Functional Compliance Status: retrieving data necessary for Pending supervision and management of the CPD. Implementation Summary: Priority will be given to the CPD obtaining any established program and system. The CPD will regularly use this data to promote civil rights and best police practices; to manage risk Compliance Documentation: N/A and liability; and to evaluate the performance of CPD officers across all ranks, units and shifts.

MOA

against officers.

MOA Paragraph Item # Status 58 The new risk management system will Due Date: 11/24/04 collect and record the following information: Primary Compliance Status: Pending (a.) all uses of force; Functional Compliance Status: Pending canine bite ratios; (b.) Implementation Summary: the number of canisters of (c.) chemical spray used by officers; (d.) all injuries to prisoners; all instances in which force is (e.)Compliance Documentation: N/A used and a subject is charged with "resisting arrest," "assault on a police officer," "disorderly conduct," or "obstruction of official business;" (f.) all critical firearm discharges, both on-duty and off-duty; all complaints (and their (g.) dispositions); all criminal proceedings initiated, as well as all civil or administrative claims filed with, and all civil lawsuits served upon, the City, or its officers, or agents, resulting from CPD operations or the actions of CPD personnel; (i.) all vehicle pursuits; (j.) all incidents involving the pointing of a firearm (if any such reporting requirement is imposed by paragraph 25); and all disciplinary action taken

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
59	The new risk management system will	Due Date:	11/24/04
	include, for the incidents included in		
	the database, appropriate identifying	Primary Compliance Status:	Pending
	information for each involved officer		
	(e.g., name, badge number, shift and	Functional Compliance Status:	Pending
	supervisor) and civilian (e.g., race,		
	ethnicity or national origin).	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation: N,	/A

MOA

Item #

60 Within 90 days of the implementation of Within 90 days the new risk management system, or later of RMS implementation with the agreement of DOJ, the CPD will prepare, for the review and approval of Primary Compliance Status: Pending DOJ, a plan for including appropriate fields and values of new and historical Functional Compliance Status: Pending data into the risk management system (the "Data Input Plan"). The Data Input Implementation Summary: Plan will identify the data to be included and the means for inputting

such data (direct entry or otherwise), the specific fields of information to be included, the past time periods for which information is to be included, the deadlines for inputting the data, and the responsibility for the input of the data. The Data Input Plan will include historical data that is up-to-date and complete in the risk management system. The CPD and DOJ will together seek to ensure that the protocol receives final review and approval within 30 days after

it is presented for approval.

MOA Paragraph

Compliance Documentation: N/A

Status

Due Date:

em # MOA Paragraph	Status	
The CPD will, within 90 days, pre		7/12/0
for the review and approval of DO		
thereafter implement, a protocol		itus:
using the risk management system.		
City will submit for the review a		on
approval of DOJ all proposed	(Extension Approved by	
modifications to the protocol pri		B00)
		Chatus . Dandin
implementing such modifications.	Functional Compliance	Status: Pendin
	Implementation Summary	7:
	Compliance Documentati	on: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
62a	The protocol is comprised of the	Due Date: 7/12/02
	following components: data storage, data retrieval, reporting, data	Primary Compliance Status:
	analysis, pattern identification, supervisory assessment, supervisory intervention, documentation and audit.	Pending Vendor Selection (Extension Approved by DOJ)
		Functional Compliance Status: Pending
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status
62b	The protocol will require the	Due Date: 7/12/0
	automated system to analyze the data	
	according to the following criteria:	Primary Compliance Status:
	i) number of incidents for each data	
	category by individual officer and by	Pending Vendor Selection
	all officers in a unit; ii) average	(Extension Approved by DOJ)
	level of activity for each data	
	category by individual officer and by	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
	all officers in a unit; and iii)	
	identification of patterns of activity	Implementation Summary:
	for each data category by individual	
	officer and by all officers in a unit.	
		Compliance Decementation. N/3
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
62c	The protocol will require the system	Due Date: 7/12/02
	to generate reports on a monthly basis describing the data and data analysis and identifying individual and unit	Primary Compliance Status:
	patterns.	Pending Vendor Selection
		(Extension Approved by DOJ)
		Functional Compliance Status: Pending
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
62d	The protocol will require that CPD	Due Date: 7/12/02
	commanders, managers, and supervisors will review, on a regular basis but	Primary Compliance Status:
	not less than quarterly, system reports, and will evaluate individual officer, supervisor, and unit	Pending Vendor Selection (Extension Approved by DOJ)
	activity.	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
62e	The protocol will require that CPD	Due Date: 7/	12/02
	commanders, managers, and supervisors initiate intervention for individual	Primary Compliance Status:	
	officers, supervisors and for units based on appropriate activity and pattern assessment of the information	Pending Vendor Selection (Extension Approved by DOJ)	
	contained in the risk management system.	Functional Compliance Status: Pe	nding
		Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
62f	The protocol will require that	Due Date: 7/12	/02
	intervention options include		
	discussion by commanders, managers,	Primary Compliance Status:	
	supervisors, and officers; counseling;		
	training; and supervised, monitored,	Pending Vendor Selection	
	and documented action plans and	(Extension Approved by DOJ)	
	strategies designed to modify		
	activity. All interventions will be	Functional Compliance Status: Pend	ling
	documented in writing and entered into		
	the automated system (appropriate	Implementation Summary:	
	intervention options will be employed		
	based on the evaluation described in		
	subsection (e) above).		
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status
62g	The protocol will specify that actions	Due Date: 7/12/02
	taken as a result of information from	
	the risk management system be based on	Primary Compliance Status:
	all relevant and appropriate	
	information, including the nature of	Pending Vendor Selection
	the officer's assignment, crime trends	(Extension Approved by DOJ)
	and crime problems, and not solely on the number or percentages of incidents	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
	in any category of information	runctional compliance status. Fending
	recorded in the risk management	Implementation Summary:
	system.	
		Compliance Documentation: N/A
		200mp114m00 2004m0m04010m.

em	# MOA Paragraph	Status
2h	The protocol will require that the	Due Date: 7/12/02
	system's data be accessible to CPD	
	commanders, managers, and supervisors. Commanders, managers, and supervisors will promptly review records of all officers recently transferred to their sections and units.	Primary Compliance Status:
		Pending Vendor Selection
		(Extension Approved by DOJ)
		Functional Compliance Status: Pending
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status
62i	The protocol will require that CPD	Due Date: 7/12/02
	commanders, managers, and supervisors	
	be evaluated on their ability to use	Primary Compliance Status:
	the risk management system to enhance	
	effectiveness and reduce risk.	Pending Vendor Selection
		(Extension Approved by DOJ)
		Functional Compliance Status: Pending
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

tem # MOA Paragraph	Status
62j The protocol will require that the system be managed and administered by	Due Date: 7/12/02
the Inspections Unit of the CPD. The Inspections Unit of the CPD will	Primary Compliance Status:
conduct quarterly audits of the system	
to ensure action is taken according to	(Extension Approved by DOJ)
the process described above.	Functional Compliance Status, Parding
	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
	Implementation Summary:
	Compliance Documentation: N/A

em	# MOA Paragraph	Status
2k	The protocol will require regular	Due Date: 7/12/02
	reviews, at no less than quarterly	
	intervals, by appropriate managers of	Primary Compliance Status:
	all relevant risk management system	
	information to evaluate officer	Pending Vendor Selection
	performance city wide, and to evaluate	(Extension Approved by DOJ)
	and make appropriate comparisons	
	regarding the performance of all CPD	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
	units in order to identify any	
	significant patterns or series of	Implementation Summary:
	incidents	
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 11/24/04 63 The City will maintain all personally Due Date: identifiable information about an officer included in the risk management Primary Compliance Status: Pending system during the officer's employment Functional Compliance Status: with the CPD and for at least five Pending years. Information necessary for Implementation Summary: aggregate statistical analysis will be maintained indefinitely in the risk management system. On an ongoing basis, the CPD will enter information into the risk management system in a timely, accurate, and complete manner, and maintain the data in a secure and confidential manner. Compliance Documentation: N/A

34	_	. 7

MOA		
Item	<u> </u>	Status
64a	Within 90 days of the effective date	Due Date: 7/12/02
	of this Agreement, subject to the	
	review and approval of DOJ, the CPD	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	will issue the Request for Proposal	Europhianal Compliance Chatus, Gameliana
	(RFP).	Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
		Implementation Summary:
		The Department created a Request for Proposal (RFP) seeking the development of Risk Management System capable of capturing the information specified in MOA paragraph 58 and the functionality described in MOA paragraph 62. The RFP was issued on 7/10/02 requesting interested vendors to submit proposals by the specified deadline of 10/4/02. The RFP was also submitted to the DOJ for review.
		As a result of technical assistance offered by the DOJ, correspondence clarifying the specifications and operation of the proposed system was sent to all vendors that submitted proposals. The potential vendors were given another due date of 11/5/02 to submit any modifications based on the information contained in this correspondence. From the proposals submitted, CPD is currently in the process of selecting a vendor.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

em		Status
lb	Within 210 days of the issuance of the	Due Date: 2/6/0
	RFP, or later with the agreement of DOJ	
	the CPD will select the contractor to	Primary Compliance Status: Pendin
	create the risk management system.	
		Functional Compliance Status: Pendin
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A
		1

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 64c Within 90 days of the effective date of Due Date: this Agreement, the CPD will submit the protocol for using the risk management Primary Compliance Status: system to DOJ for review and approval. The CPD will share drafts of this Pending Vendor Selection document with DOJ and the Monitor (a (Extension Approved by DOJ) position described in Section IX) to allow DOJ and the Monitor to become Functional Compliance Status: Pending familiar with the document as it develops and to provide informal Implementation Summary: comments on it. The CPD and DOJ will together seek to ensure that the protocol receives final approval within 30 days after it is presented for review and approval Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 64d | Within 12 months of selecting the contractor, the City will have ready for testing a beta version of the risk management system consisting of: i) server hardware and operating systems installed, configured and integrated with the CPD's existing automated systems; ii) necessary data base software installed and configured; iii) data structures created, including interfaces to source data; and iv) the use of force information system completed, including historic data. DOJ and the Monitor will have the opportunity to participate in testing the beta version using use of force data and test data created specifically for purposes of checking the risk management system.

Due Date: Pending Vendor Selection

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Pending

Functional Compliance Status: Pending

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 64e The risk management system computer Due Date: Pending Vendor program and computer hardware will be Selection operational and fully implemented Primary Compliance Status: within 18 months of the selection of Pending the risk management system contractor Functional Compliance Status: Pending Implementation Summary: Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA		
Item	<u> </u>	Status
65	Prior to implementation of the new risk	Due Date: 7/12/02
	management system, the CPD will use existing databases and resources to the	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	fullest extent possible, to identify	compilance status.
	patterns of conduct by CPD officers or	Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
	groups of officers.	_
		Implementation Summary:
		CPD currently maintains the following databases that are used to evaluate officer performance:
		 Use of Force Database - Inspections Section Canine Deployment Database - Special Services Section Citizen Complaint Database -Internal Investigations Section CCRP Databases - All districts Chemical Irritant database - Supply Unit Lawsuit Tracking database - Planning Section The information contained in these systems
		will be entered into the new Risk Management System (MOA 57-64).
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

MOA Paragraph Item # Status 66 Following the initial implementation of Due Date: Prior to Implementation the risk management system, and as experience and the availability of new Primary Compliance Status: technology may warrant, the CPD may propose to add, subtract, or modify data Functional Compliance Status: tables and fields, modify the list of documents scanned or electronically Implementation Summary: attached, and add, subtract, or modify standardized reports and queries. The CPD will submit all such proposals for review and approval by DOJ before implementation. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 The CPD will develop a protocol for Due Date: conducting audits. The protocol will be used by each officer or supervisor Primary Compliance Status: Compliance charged with conducting audits. The Functional Compliance Status: protocol will establish a regular and Compliance fixed schedule to ensure that such audits occur with sufficient frequency, Implementation Summary: and cover all five CPD districts. The Inspection Section has been assigned the authority and responsibility for Department audit functions. Inspection Section Standard Operating Procedures 1.51, 1.54, 1.54a, 1.60, 1.61, 1.70 have been revised to include the protocol and frequency of the required audits. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item#MOA Paragraph68aThe CPD will conduct the following audits pursuant to the protocol in

paragraph 67:
It will conduct regularly scheduled quarterly audits, covering all five districts, that examine citizen complaints processed through the CCF

complaints processed through the CCRP, including auditing selected samples of complaints that were resolved through the CCRP, contacting the complainants to evaluate whether the actions and views of the citizen were captured correctly in the CCRP report, and examining whether there is consistency in the CCRP across districts. It will issue a report on the results, which will be provided to each district commander. Each district commander will review the report in regard to officers under their command and, if appropriate, the CPD will impose disciplinary or non-disciplinary corrective action.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

Inspections Section is assigned the responsibility of the quarterly CCRP auditing process. Inspections Section Standard Operating Procedure 1.54a contains the auditing provisions outlined in paragraph #68a. The most recent audit randomly examined CCRP complaints for the quarterly reporting period beginning 7/1/02 and ending 9/30/02. During the audit process, the following areas were scrutinized:

- Accuracy of the CCRP databases.
- Proper notification of the complainant notification regarding the CCRP outcome and any action(s) taken.
- Availability of citizen complaint forms and informational brochures in police installations and vehicles.

A report summarizing the audit was released by the Inspections Section on 10/31/02 (attached).

Procedure 15.100, Citizen Complaints, requires review of CCRP investigations through the chain of command. If necessary, corrective, and/or disciplinary action may be recommended.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 15.100

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 68b The CPD will conduct the following audits pursuant to the protocol in paragraph 67: It will conduct semiannual integrity audits and issue a report on the investigations conducted by IIS. The report will evaluate IIS's investigation of selected use of force and citizen complaints. The report will assess the reliability and completeness of IIS's canvassing and interviewing of witnesses, preservation and analysis of the incident scene, and the appropriateness of IIS's conclusions.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

Inspections Section is assigned the responsibility of the semi-annual Internal Investigations Section case auditing process. Inspections Section Standard Operating Procedure 1.54 contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 68b. The most recent audit was conducted for the first semi-annual reporting period of 2002. The Inspections Section on 7/22/02 released a report summarizing the details of that audit.

Inspections Section also conducts a critical review on all use of force (including use of canine) incidents. Their findings and recommendations are recorded in writing and forwarded to the Police Chief for necessary action. These provisions are contained in the revised Procedure 12.545, Use of Force. The revised version of Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 69 The CPD will ensure regular meetings Due Date: with local prosecutors to identify issues in officer, shift or unit Primary Compliance Status: Compliance performance. Functional Compliance Status: Compliance Implementation Summary: Inspections Section has adopted Standard Operating Procedure 2.42, Quality Control: Case Presentation/Prosecution. The procedure directs the Inspections Section to conduct bi-monthly meetings with members of the City Prosecutor and Solicitor's Office to identify and address performance and training issues. The information presented at these meetings will be forwarded to the Police Chief in writing for follow up action. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 70a The CPD policy on video cameras will be Due Date: revised and augmented to require: mandatory activation for all traffic Primary Compliance Status: Compliance stops and pursuits that continues until the motor vehicle stop is completed and Functional Compliance Status: the stopped vehicle departs, or until the officer's participation in the Compliance, Pending Community Review motor vehicle stop ends. Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedures 12.205/Traffic Enforcement, 12.535/Emergency Operation of Police Vehicles, and Pursuit Driving, and 12.537/Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedures contain the provisions outlined in paragraph 70a. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedures 12.205, 12.535, 12.537

MOA

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
70b	The CPD policy on video cameras will be revised and augmented to require: to	Due Date: 7/12/02
	the extent practical, the recording of requests for consent to search a	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	vehicle, deployments of drug-detection canines, and vehicle searches.	Functional Compliance Status:
	canines, and venicle scarcines.	Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised 12.537/Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedure contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 70b.
		Compliance Documentation:
		See Appendix for Procedure 12.537

MOA Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
	The CPD policy on video cameras will be	Due Date: 7/12/02
	revised and augmented to require: to	
	the extent practical, manual activation	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	for incidents in which the prisoner being transported is violent.	Functional Compliance Status:
	being cramsported is violent.	Tanocional compilance scacas.
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedure 12.537, Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedure contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 70c.
		Compliance Documentation:
		See Appendix for Procedure 12.537

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status Due Date: 7/12/02 70d The CPD policy on video cameras will be revised and augmented to require: supervisors to review the tapes in all Primary Compliance Status: Compliance cars of all officers listed in any CPD report regarding any incident involving Functional Compliance Status: injuries to a prisoner or an officer, Compliance, Pending Community Review uses of force, vehicle pursuits, and citizen complaints. Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedure 12.537, Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedure contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 70d. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.537

MOA

MOA Tham	# MOA Parrament	Chahua
Item		Status
70e	1	Due Date: 7/12/02
	revised and augmented to require: that	
	the CPD retain and preserve tapes for	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	at least 90 days, or as long as	
	necessary for incidents subject to	Functional Compliance Status:
	investigation.	
		Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		The Planning and Procedure 12.537, Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedure contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 70e
		Compliance Documentation:
		See Appendix for Procedure 12.537

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 71 If an officer actively participates in a Due Date: motor vehicle stop and is aware that the motor vehicle stop was not recorded Primary Compliance Status: Compliance using the video camera equipment, the officer will continue to notify the Functional Compliance Status: shift supervisor of the reason the stop was not recorded. Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 12.537, Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedure contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 71. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.537

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 72 The CPD will continue to conduct Due Date: periodic random reviews of mobile camera videotapes for training and integrity Primary Compliance Status: Compliance purposes. Supervisors conducting these reviews will document their activity in Functional Compliance Status: a logbook. In addition, the CPD will Compliance, Pending Community Review require periodic random surveys of mobile video recorder equipment to Implementation Summary: confirm that they are in proper working order. The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee has revised Procedure 12.537, Mobile Video Recording Equipment. The revised procedure contains the provisions outlined in paragraph 72. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.537

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 73 Consistent with available resources, the 7/12/02 Due Date: City will provide the CPD with sufficient staff, funds, and resources Primary Compliance Status: Compliance to continue to upgrade its Police Communications Section's ("PCS") Functional Compliance Status: communications technology to meet current standards. Compliance, Pending Available Resources Implementation Summary: The following improvements are in progress or are planned: 1. 800MHz Communications System 2. Emergency 911 Phone System Replacement 3. Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) Replacement Compliance Documentation: N/A

N	AON			
_1	tem		Status	
	74	The CPD will maintain a written protocol	Due Date:	7/12/02
		or checklists that guide PCS operators on how to respond to specific	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
		situations, and how to elicit all	Filmary Compliance Status.	Compilance
		relevant information about the incident	Functional Compliance Status:	Compliance
		being reported.		
			Implementation Summary:	
			The Management and Supervision Committee and the Police Commun Section have developed a Call T Response Guide for Communicatio personnel. The guide delineate procedures and protocol for han various types of reported incid	ications akers ns s the proper dling
			Compliance Documentation: N/	A

MOA

Item #

The CPD will revise its disciplinary matrix to take into account an officer's violations of different rules, rather than just repeated violations of the same rule. The CPD will further revise this matrix to increase the penalties for uses of excessive force, improper searches and seizures, discrimination, or dishonesty, to reflect the seriousness of those infractions. The revised disciplinary matrix will provide the CPD with the discretion to impose any appropriate punishment when the CPD believes the officer's misconduct exhibits a lack of fitness for duty. This revised matrix will be subject to the review and approval of DOJ.

MOA Paragraph

Status
Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Disciplinary Matrix was revised to incorporate the provisions of paragraph 75. The revised matrix was sent to DOJ for review and formally approved on 9/4/02.

7/12/02

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 76 Absent exceptional circumstances, the Due Date: CPD will not take only non-disciplinary corrective action in cases in which the Primary Compliance Status: Compliance disciplinary matrix indicates the imposition of discipline. The CPD will Functional Compliance Status: not fail to consider whether non-Compliance, Pending Community Review disciplinary corrective action is required in a case because discipline Implementation Summary: has been imposed on the officer. The Disciplinary Matrix was revised to incorporate the provisions of paragraph 76. The revised matrix was sent to DOJ for review and formally approved on 9/4/02. Compliance Documentation: N/A

SECTION EIGHT

TRAINING

MOA

Item #

77 The CPD will coordinate and review all use of force policy and training to ensure quality, consistency, and compliance with applicable law and CPD policy. The CPD will conduct regular subsequent reviews, at least semiannually.

MOA Paragraph

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

CPD established a training committee to develop and evaluate the training needs of the department.

CPD Staff Notes dated 11/27/01, solicited volunteers to serve a two-year term on the committee. The committee is comprised of sworn and non-sworn members from outside and within the Department and is directed to meet on a regular basis. An Assistant City Solicitor, who serves as a legal advisor to CPD, is assigned to the committee to ensure the training curriculum meets current legal standards while an appointed command officer ensures compliance with CPD policy and procedures.

The committee met on the following dates:

- January 10, 2002
- February 7, 2002
- June 12, 2002

MOA

Item #

78 The Director of the Training Academy, either directly or through his/her designee(s), consistent with Ohio law and the Ohio Peace Officer Training

Academy standards, will:

MOA Paragraph

(a.) ensure the quality of all use
of force training;

- (b.) develop and implement use of force training curricula;
- (c.) select and train CPD officer
 trainers;
- (d.) develop, implement, approve and oversee all in-service training and roll call curricula;
- (e.) establish procedures for
 evaluating all training curricula and
 procedures; and
- (f.) conduct regular needs assessments to ensure that use of force training is responsive to the knowledge, skills, and abilities of the officers being trained.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

CPD established a training committee to develop and evaluate the training needs of the department.

CPD Staff Notes dated 11/27/01, solicited volunteers to serve a two-year term on the committee. The committee is comprised of sworn and non-sworn members from outside and within the Department and is directed to meet on a regular basis. An Assistant City Solicitor, who serves as a legal advisor to CPD, is assigned to the committee to ensure the training curriculum meets current legal standards while an appointed command officer ensures compliance with CPD policy and procedures. The committee met on the following dates:

- January 10, 2002
- February 7, 2002
- June 12, 2002

Instructors assigned to the Training Section receive the appropriate instructor training at the OPOTA facility.

The Training Section conducts surveys regarding the quality or existing training and the needs for future training. Recommendations gained from the Training Committee and the needs assessments serve as a basis for training development.

MOA

Ttem # MOA Paragraph

The CPD will provide training consistent with CPD policy, law, and proper police practices and ensure that only mandated objectives and approved lesson plans are taught by instructors.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

CPD established a training committee to develop and evaluate the training needs of the department.

CPD Staff Notes dated 11/27/01, solicited volunteers to serve a two-year term on the committee. The committee is comprised of sworn and non-sworn members from outside and within the Department and is directed to meet on a regular basis. An Assistant City Solicitor, who serves as a legal advisor to CPD, is assigned to the committee to ensure the training curriculum meets current legal standards while an appointed command officer ensures compliance with CPD policy and procedures. The committee met on the following dates:

- January 10, 2002
- February 7, 2002
- June 12, 2002

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph The CPD curriculum and policy committee will review all use of force training and use of force policies on a regular basis to ensure compliance with applicable laws and CPD policy. The committee will include core Training Academy staff, a broad cross section of field personnel, including CPD command staff, and a representative of the City

Solicitor's office.

7/12/02 Due Date:

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

CPD established a training committee to develop and evaluate the training needs of the department.

CPD Staff Notes dated 11/27/01, solicited volunteers to serve a two-year term on the committee. The committee is comprised of sworn and non-sworn members from outside and within the Department and is directed to meet on a regular basis. An Assistant City Solicitor, who serves as a legal advisor to CPD, is assigned to the committee to ensure the training curriculum meets current legal standards while an appointed command officer ensures compliance with CPD policy and procedures. The committee met on the following dates:

- January 10, 2002
- February 7, 2002
- June 12, 2002

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 81a | The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and address: the CPD's use of force model Functional Compliance Status: described in this Agreement. Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate the use of force provisions specified in the agreement. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545 became effective 9/2/02. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 81b | The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and address: proper use of force decision Functional Compliance Status: making. Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate the use of force provisions specified in the agreement. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured roll call training program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, Procedure 12.537, Foot Pursuit Policy, and the Use of Force Training Curriculum include the decision-making topics outlined in paragraph 81b. Compliance Documentation:

12.545

See Appendix for Procedures 12.537 and

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 81c | The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and address: the CPD's use of force Functional Compliance Status: reporting requirements. Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate the use of force provisions specified in the agreement. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors, held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. The training program includes the reporting requirements and responsibilities outlined in paragraph 81c. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

34	_	. 7	

MOA		
Item	<u> </u>	Status
81d	The CPD will continue to provide all	
	CPD recruits, officers, supervisors	
	and managers with annual training or use of force. Such training will	n Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	include and address: the Fourth	Functional Compliance Status:
	Amendment and other constitutional	Tanceronar compilance seatus.
	requirements.	Compliance, Pending Community Review
		Implementation Summary:
		Fourth Amendment issues are covered in depth during new officer training for police recruits. Supervisors and officers receive updates and refresher training on legal issues during the annual In-Service Training. Legal updates pertaining to Fourth Amendment issues are periodically published in the Department Staff Notes.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

em		Status	
1e	The CPD will continue to provide all CPD recruits, officers, supervisors	Due Date:	7/12/02
	and managers with annual training on use of force. Such training will	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	include and address: examples of scenarios faced by CPD officers that	Functional Compliance Status:	
	illustrate proper use of force decision making.	Compliance, Pending community I	Review
	decision making.	Implementation Summary:	
		Since the inception of the Roll Training Program administered in Training Section, scenarios end the CPD as well as other depart been presented to field officer and critique. The scenarios as among officers and supervisors all the available legal and tac options.	by the countered by tments have rs for revie re discussed to consider
		Compliance Documentation: N,	/ A

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 81f The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on Primary Compliance Status: Compliance use of force. Such training will include and address: interactive Functional Compliance Status: exercises that emphasize proper use of Compliance, Pending Community Review force decision making. Implementation Summary: Since the inception of the Roll Call Training Program administered by the Training Section, scenarios encountered by the CPD as well as other departments have been presented to field officers for review and critique. The scenarios are discussed among officers and supervisors to consider all the available legal and tactical options. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item #

MOA Paragraph

7/12/02 81g | The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and address the following topics: the Functional Compliance Status: proper amount of chemical spray to use, how to deliver spray effectively, and Compliance, Pending Community Review the proper anatomical targets for Implementation Summary: chemical spray. The Use of Force curriculum prepared and adopted by the Training Section specifies the proper deployment of chemical irritant. The use of chemical irritant is addressed in depth during new officer training for recruits and is also presented annually during the In-Service Training held at the Firearms Training Unit. Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, also outlines the proper utilization of chemical irritant.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.545

Status

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 81h | The CPD will continue to provide all CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of force. Such training will include and address the following topics: deescalation techniques that allow officers to effect arrests without using force, and instruction that disengagement, area containment, surveillance, waiting out a subject, summoning reinforcements, calling in specialized units, or even letting a subject temporarily evade arrest may be the appropriate response to a situation even when the use of force would be legally justified.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Use of Force Training Curriculum developed and adopted by the Training Section offers instruction on alternatives to the use of force. The provisions outlined in paragraph 81h are contained in the curriculum. Emphasis on crisis management and the use of alternative measures or specialized units is integrated into the following procedures:

- 12.110 Handling Mentally Ill Individuals
- 12.170 Civil Disturbance Operation
 Procedure
- 12.175 Use of Special Weapons and Tactical Unit
- 12.180 Use of Crisis Negotiation Team
- 12.535 Emergency Operation of Police Vehicles
- 12.536 Foot Pursuits
- 12.545 Use of Force
- 12.550 Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedures 12.110, 12.180. 12.535, 12.536, 12.545, and 12.550

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 81i The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and address the following topics: Functional Compliance Status: additional training to its officers on Compliance, Pending Community Review alternate safe techniques for extracting subjects from stationary Implementation Summary: vehicles and disabling such vehicles. Since the inception of the Roll Call Training Program, scenarios involving disabling vehicles and extraction techniques are routinely discussed to examine the available legal and tactical options. Procedure 12.535, Emergency Operation of Police Vehicles, (Section I/Use of Stop Sticks), delineates alternate safe techniques for disabling vehicles.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 12.535.

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 81j The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: 7/12/02 CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and Functional Compliance Status: address: threat assessment. Compliance, Pending Community Review Implementation Summary: The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee revised Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, to incorporate the use of force provisions specified in the agreement. The revised procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training on the policy through the structured roll call training program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Procedure 12.545, Use of Force, Procedure 12.537, Foot Pursuit Policy, Procedure 12.550, Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel, and the Use of Force Training Curriculum includes the provisions outlined in paragraph 81j. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedures 12.537, 12.545.

and 12.550.

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 81k The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and address: additional training on Functional Compliance Status: interacting with people with mental Compliance, Pending Community Review illnesses. Implementation Summary: The Training Sub-Committee developed training for the Mental Health Response Team members. The training provided instruction on understanding those afflicted with mental illness and the most successful methods of intervention. The New Officer Training Curriculum also incorporates extensive training on dealing with the mentally ill. Sworn officers have received an 8 hour training module on interacting with people with mental illnesses. Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 811 The CPD will continue to provide all Due Date: CPD recruits, officers, supervisors and managers with annual training on use of Primary Compliance Status: Compliance force. Such training will include and Functional Compliance Status: address the following topics factors to Compliance consider in initiating or continuing a pursuit (pursuant to the CPD's new Implementation Summary: policy, required by this Agreement). The Planning and Procedure Sub-Committee developed procedure 12.536, Foot Pursuits. The new procedure was included in the In-Service Training for supervisors held July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received the training through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section. Compliance Documentation: See Appendix for Procedure 12.536

MOA

Item #

82 The CPD will provide all officers charged with accepting citizen complaints with appropriate training on handling citizen complaints with an emphasis on interpersonal skills. The CPD will provide training on the CPD citizen complaint process, including the role of CCRP, IIS, CCA and CPRP in the process, to all new recruits and as part of annual in-service training. The CPD will provide training on appropriate burdens of proof to all supervisors who are responsible for investigating and determining the outcomes of citizen complaints, as well as the factors to consider when evaluating complainant or witness credibility (to ensure that their recommendations regarding dispositions are unbiased, uniform and

legally appropriate).

MOA Paragraph

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The citizen complaint process is covered in a block of training offered to the new recruits at the Police Academy.

The annual In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002 provided instruction on modifications to the Citizen Complaint Process. The In-Service Training discusses the roles of IIS, CCRP, and the new CCA. Supervisors also received training on the proper investigative techniques to employ for the proper investigation and resolution of citizen complaints. In addition, all officers have been instructed on the New Citizens Complaint Process during Roll Call Training Program.

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 83 The CPD supervisors will continue to receive leadership and command accountability training, and learn techniques designed to promote proper police practices. This training will be provided to all CPD sergeants promoted to supervisory rank within 30 days of assuming supervisory responsibilities, and will be made part of annual inservice training.

Status Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status:

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance

7/12/02

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

Supervisors receive leadership and command accountability training at Annual Management Training. Upon certification of the promotional exams for the rank of Sergeant, the Training Section immediately contacts the Personnel Section to determine the projected number of vacancies that will occur while the list remains active. Once the information is received, the Training Section will schedule New Sergeants Training for the determined number of eligible promotional candidates as soon as possible and within the 30 day period. two-week training incorporates the provisions of paragraph 83.

MOA

Item :	# MOA Paragraph	Status
84a	The CPD will complete development and	Due Date: 7/12/02
	implementation of a comprehensive	
	canine training curriculum and lesson	Primary Compliance Status:
	plans which specifically identify	
	goals, objectives and the mission of	Pending DOJ Review and Approval
	the Canine Unit, consistent with its	
	canine policy, as amended by this	Functional Compliance Status: Pending
	Agreement.	
		Implementation Summary:
		Compliance Documentation: N/A
		Compitance bocumentation. N/A

m o	# MOA Paragraph The CPD will continue to purchase only	Status Due Date:	7/12/0
	professionally-bred canines. The CPD	Due Duec.	,,12,0
	will ensure that all canines, handlers	Primary Compliance Status:	
		Filmary Compilance Status.	
	and supervisors are formally trained in	Panding DOT Paning and America	
	the CPD canine policy as revised by	Pending DOJ Review and Approval	•
	this Agreement, and are able to carry	Boothing Committee Chatana	
	out the policy's requirements.	Functional Compliance Status:	Pendir
		Implementation Summary:	
		Implementation summary:	
		Compliance Documentation: N/	'A

MOA

MOA Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
84c	The CPD will ensure that the canines	Due Date: 7/12/02
010	receive annual re-certification and	7,12,02
	periodic refresher training. Deviations	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	from certification or training	
	requirements will result in the	Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
	retraining of the handlers and/or	
	removal of the canine from service	Implementation Summary:
	until such requirements are fulfilled.	
		CPD canines and handlers receive annual state re-certification. Canine Unit SOP #430.35 directs canine units to receive 16 hours monthly in-service training.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

and Approval se Status: Pending ary:	Due Date: Primary Compliance Status: Pending DOJ Review and Appr	The CPD will continue to ensure that canine handlers are physically capable of implementing and maintaining the CPD's canine policy, as modified by	84d
and Approval se Status: Pending ary:		of implementing and maintaining the	
and Approval se Status: Pending ary:			
ce Status: Pending ary:	Pending DOJ Review and Appr		
ce Status: Pending		this Agreement. Handlers should be	
ary:		able to maintain control of, and	
	Functional Compliance Statu	contact with the canine to ensure that	
		the canine is not allowed to bite a	
tion: N/A	Implementation Summary:	suspect without a legal justification	
tion: N/A			
tion: N/A			
ntion: N/A			
ntion: N/A			
.,,,,,	Compliance Documentation:		
	compilation botamentation.		

MOA		
Item		Status
84e	Within 180 days, the CPD will require that all of its in-house canine	Due Date: 10/9/02
	trainers are certified canine instructors	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
	Instructors	Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
		Implementation Summary:
		CPD in-house canine trainers have receive trainer certification.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A
1		

# MOA Paragraph	Status	
The CPD will ensure that training	Due Date: 7/12/02	2
meaningful dialogue regarding particular	Primary Compliance Status: Complia	ance
incidents involving CPD officers, with	Functional Compliance Status: Complia	ance
the legal and tactical issues raised by the scenarios.	Implementation Summary:	
	the CPD as well as other departments had been presented to field officers for reand critique. The scenarios are discuss	ave eview ssed
	Compliance Documentation: N/A	
	The CPD will ensure that training instructors engage students in meaningful dialogue regarding particular scenarios, preferably taken from actual incidents involving CPD officers, with the goal of educating students regarding the legal and tactical issues raised by	The CPD will ensure that training instructors engage students in meaningful dialogue regarding particular scenarios, preferably taken from actual incidents involving CPD officers, with the goal of educating students regarding the legal and tactical issues raised by the scenarios. Since the inception of the Roll Call Training Program administered by the Training Section, scenarios encountered the CPD as well as other departments have been presented to field officers for reand critique. The scenarios are discuss among officers and supervisors to constall the available legal and tactical options.

MOA

Item #

86 The CPD periodically will meet with the City Solicitor's Office concerning the conclusion of civil lawsuits alleging officer misconduct. Information gleaned from this process will be used by CPD staff to develop or revise training.

MOA Paragraph

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

Due Date:

CPD established a training committee to develop and evaluate the training needs of the department.

CPD Staff Notes dated 11/27/01, solicited volunteers to serve a two-year term on the committee. The committee is comprised of sworn and non-sworn members from outside and within the Department and is directed to meet on a regular basis. An Assistant City Solicitor, who serves as a legal advisor to CPD, is assigned to the committee to ensure the training curriculum meets current legal standards while an appointed command officer ensures compliance with CPD policy and procedures.

Inspections Section has adopted Standard Operating Procedure 2.42, Quality Control: Case Presentation/Prosecution. The procedure directs the Inspections Section to conduct regular meetings with members of the City Prosecutor and Solicitor's Office to identify and address performance and training issues. The information presented at these meetings will be forwarded to the Police Chief in writing for follow up action.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 7/12/02 The City and the CPD will provide copies Due Date: and explain this Agreement to all CPD and all relevant City employees. The Primary Compliance Status: Compliance City and the CPD will provide initial training on this Agreement to all City Functional Compliance Status: Compliance and CPD employees whose job responsibilities are effected by this Implementation Summary: Agreement within 120 days of each CPD distributed copies of the Agreement to provision's implementation. Thereafter, the CPD will provide training during inall sworn and non-sworn members of the department. The provisions of the service training. agreement were discussed at the In-Service Training for supervisors held in July 2002. Police Officers and Specialists received training regarding the terms of the agreement through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

Compliance Documentation:

N/A

MOA

Item #

The CPD will develop a protocol to enhance the FTO program. The protocol will address the criteria and method for selecting FTOs, and set standards that require the appropriate assessment of an officer's past complaint and disciplinary history before an officer is selected to serve as an FTO. FTO appointments will be subject to review for reappointment at the Training Academy Director's discretion. District commanders will also have discretion, upon consultation with the Training Academy staff, to remove an officer from the FTO program.

MOA Paragraph

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

7/12/02

Functional Compliance Status:

Compliance, Pending Community Review

Implementation Summary:

The Training Committee evaluated the Field Training Officer Program and made improvement recommendations that were incorporated in revised Procedure 13.100, Field Training Officer Program. The revised procedure has been implemented and contains the provisions in paragraph 88.

Compliance Documentation:

See Appendix for Procedure 13.100

MOA				
Item	n #	MOA Paragraph	Status	
89		be reviewed at least bi-	Due Date:	7/12/02
		with re-certification		
		on satisfactory prior ce and feedback from the	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	Training		Functional Compliance Status:	Compliance
			Implementation Summary:	
			The Training Committee evaluate Training Officer Program and ma improvement recommendations tha incorporated in revised Procedu Field Training Officer Program. revised procedure has been impl contains the provisions in para	nde at were are 13.100, The Lemented and
			Compliance Documentation:	
			See Appendix for Procedure 13.1	.00

MOA

Item #

The CPD will continue to ensure that all officers, supervisors and managers complete mandatory annual requalification firearms training. The CPD will provide its officers with increased in-service firearms training which will consist of satisfactorily completing all re-qualification courses in addition to achieving a passing score on the target shooting trials. The CPD will also include professional night training and stress training (i.e., training in using a firearm after undergoing physical exertion) in its annual in-service training program with the goal of adequately preparing officers for real life situations. Consistent with State law and standards, the CPD will revoke the police powers of those officers who

fail to satisfactorily complete re-

certification.

MOA Paragraph

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

The Cincinnati Police Department has mandated 16 hours a year of Firearms Training for each Officer from the rank of Lieutenant and below. Captains and above will attend re-qualification annually. The first 8 hours will consist of Firearms Training that will enhance the officers' shooting skills using live fire and decision-making ability using FATS. The second 8 hours will consist of firearms testing, which includes firing under simulated nighttime conditions. Testing will consist of knowledge of Use of Force policies and procedures, OPOTA-approved re-qualification course, and check-off list having officers' demonstrating proper gun handling, safety, and decision-making skills.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 91 The CPD will ensure that firearm instructors critically observe students and provide corrective instruction regarding deficient firearm techniques and failure to utilize safe gun handling procedures at all times. The CPD will create and implement a checklist identifying evaluation criteria to determine satisfactory completion of recruit and in-service firearms training. Such checklists will be completed for each student officer by a firearms instructor, who will sign the checklist indicating that these criteria have been satisfactorily reviewed during training. The checklist will include, but not be limited to: a) maintains finger off trigger unless justified and ready to fire; b) maintains proper hold of firearm and proper stance; and c) uses proper use of force decision making.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

The Cincinnati Police Department has mandated 16 hours a year of Firearms Training for each Officer from the rank of Lieutenant and below. Captains and above will attend re-qualification annually. The first 8 hours will consist of firearms training that will enhance the officers' shooting skills using live fire and decision making ability using FATS. The second 8 hours will consist of firearms testing. Testing will consist of knowledge of Use of Force policies and procedures, OPOTA approved re-qualification course, and check off list having officers' demonstrating proper gun handling, safety, and decision making skills.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

SECTION NINE

MONITORING, REPORTING, AND IMPLEMENTATION

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 5/12/02 92a Within 30 days of the execution of this Due Date: Agreement, DOJ, together with the Collaborative, jointly will issue a Primary Compliance Status: Compliance solicitation for bid proposals for appointment of the Monitor. In addition Functional Compliance Status: Compliance to a targeted national mailing, the solicitation will be published in Implementation Summary: several national newspapers, and the web sites of the Collaborative and DOJ. The DOJ and the parties to the The City will bear the cost of Collaborative Agreement issued a Request publicizing the solicitation. for Application (RFA) for the Independent Monitor. The RFA was released on the City Web Site on 5/10/02. Copies of the RFA were sent to potential applicants from a list supplied by the Department of Justice and also advertised in selected national newspapers. Compliance Documentation: N/A

1	AON		
-	Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status
Γ	92b	The deadline for the submission of such	Due Date: 6/10/02
		proposals will be 30 days after	
		publication of the solicitation on the	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
		City's website.	
			Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
			Compilance Status.
			Implementation Summary:
			The DOJ and the parties to the Collaborative Agreement issued a Request for Application (RFA) for the Independent Monitor. The RFA was released on the City Web Site on 5/10/02. Copies of the RFA were sent to potential applicants from a list supplied by the Department of Justice and also advertised in selected national newspapers. The deadline specified in the RFA was as 6/10/02.
			Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
92c	Within 150 days of the execution of	Due Date:	9/9/02
	this Agreement, in accordance with the timetable set forth below, DOJ and the Collaborative will select a Monitor	Primary Compliance Status:	N/A
	with law enforcement experience who will review and report on the CPD's	Functional Compliance Status:	N/A
	implementation of, and assist with the CPD's compliance with, this Agreement.	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	A

_	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
3	If the Collaborative and DOJ are unable	Due Date:	9/9/02
	to agree on a Monitor within 150 days,		
	the Collaborative and DOJ will submit	Primary Compliance Status:	N/A
	two names of persons with law		
	enforcement experience, along with	Functional Compliance Status:	N/A
	resumes or curriculum vitae and cost proposals, to the Court, and the Court		
		Implementation Summary:	
	will appoint the Monitor from among the	Count American 10/10	. / 0.0
	names of qualified persons submitted.	Court Appointed Monitor - 10/10	1/02
		2 1: 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
		Compliance Documentation: N/A	
1			

I	ten	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
	94	In the interest of expediting the	Due Date:	N/A
		selection and contracting processes for the Monitor, the Collaborative and DOJ	Primary Compliance Status:	
		will be exempt from local contracting procurement regulations and all such regulations will be considered waived	Functional Compliance Status:	
		for this purpose.	Implementation Summary:	
			Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 95 The Monitor, at any time, may request to be allowed to hire or employ such additional persons or entities as are reasonably necessary to perform the tasks assigned to him/her by this Agreement. The Monitor will notify the Collaborative and DOJ in writing if and when the Monitor wishes to select such additional persons or entities. The notice will identify and describe the qualifications of the person or entity to be hired or employed and the monitoring task to be performed. If the Collaborative and DOJ agree to the Monitor's proposal, the Monitor will be authorized to hire or employ such additional persons or entities. The Collaborative or DOJ has ten days to disagree with the proposal. If the Collaborative and DOJ are unable to reach agreement within ten days of receiving notice of the disagreement, the Court will resolve the dispute.

Status

Due Date:

N/A

Primary Compliance Status:

Functional Compliance Status:

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 96 The City will bear all reasonable fees Due Date: N/A and costs of the Monitor. In selecting the Monitor, the Collaborative and DOJ Primary Compliance Status: recognize the importance of ensuring that the fees and costs borne by the Functional Compliance Status: City are reasonable, and accordingly, fees and costs will be one factor Implementation Summary: considered in selecting the Monitor. In the event that any dispute arises regarding the payment of the Monitor's fees and costs, the Collaborative, DOJ, and the Monitor will attempt to resolve Compliance Documentation: such dispute cooperatively. If the Collaborative and DOJ are unable to reach agreement, the Court will resolve the dispute.

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
97	The Monitor will not be subject to	Due Date:	N/A
	dismissal except upon good cause and the agreement of all of the Collaborative and DOJ, or by the Court upon motion of	Primary Compliance Status:	
	one of the Collaborative or DOJ and a	Functional Compliance Status:	
	showing of good cause.	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation:	

em #	MOA Paragraph	Status	
The Mo	nitor will only have the duties,	Due Date:	N/A
	sibilities, and authority		
	red by this Agreement. The Monitor	Primary Compliance Status:	
will n	ot, and is not intended to,		
replac	e or take over the role and duties	Functional Compliance Status:	
of any	City or CPD employee. The Monitor		
	t modify, amend, diminish, or	Implementation Summary:	
	this Agreement.		
	-		
		Compliance Documentation:	
		_	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 99 The Monitor will offer the parties technical assistance regarding compliance with this Agreement. Technical assistance will be provided to a party upon request by that party, and it will be offered consistent with the provisions of this Agreement. The City and the CPD will provide the Monitor with full and unrestricted access to all CPD and City staff, facilities, and documents (including databases) necessary to carry out the duties assigned to the Monitor by this Agreement, provided, however, that the Monitor will not have access to any materials protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. The Monitor will retain any non-public information in a confidential manner and will not disclose any non-public information to any person or entity absent written notice to the City and either written consent by the City or a court order authorizing disclosure. In monitoring the implementation of this Agreement, the Monitor will maintain regular contact with the parties.

Due Date:

Primary Compliance Status:

Functional Compliance Status:

N/A

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation:

R	Æ	-	١	7	

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
100	In order to monitor and report on the	Due Date:	N/A
	City and the CPD's implementation of		
	each substantive provision of this	Primary Compliance Status:	
	Agreement, the Monitor will conduct the reviews specified in this	Europianal Camplianas Chatus	
	Agreement and such additional reviews	Functional Compliance Status:	
	as the Monitor deems appropriate. The	Implementation Summary:	
	Monitor may make recommendations to	Impromerousian summary.	
	the parties regarding measures		
	necessary to ensure full and timely		
	implementation of this Agreement.		
		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA Paragraph	Status	
In order to monitor and report on the	Due Date:	N/A
City and the CPD's implementation of		
this Agreement, the Monitor, will,	Primary Compliance Status:	
inter alia, regularly conduct		
compliance reviews to ensure that the	Functional Compliance Status:	
City and the CPD have implemented and		
continue to implement all measures	Implementation Summary:	
required by this Agreement. The Monitor		
will, where appropriate, when measuring		
compliance, employ appropriate sampling		
techniques.		
-		
	Compliance Documentation:	
	_	

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 102 Subject to the limitations set forth in Due Date: N/A this paragraph, the CPD will reopen for further investigation any investigation Primary Compliance Status: (including use of force, injury to prisoner and citizen complaint Functional Compliance Status: investigations) the Monitor determines to be incomplete. The Monitor will Implementation Summary: provide written instructions for completing any investigation determined to be incomplete. The Monitor will exercise this authority so that any directive to reopen an investigation is given within a reasonable period following the investigation's Compliance Documentation: conclusion. The Monitor may not exercise this authority concerning any investigation the disposition of which has been officially communicated to the officer who is the subject of the investigation.

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 103 The parties agree that the CPD will hire and retain, or reassign a current CPD employee, for the duration of this Agreement, to serve as a full-time CPD Compliance Coordinator. The Compliance Coordinator will serve as a liaison between the CPD, the Monitor and DOJ, and will assist with the CPD's compliance with this Agreement. At a minimum, the Compliance Coordinator will: coordinate the CPD's compliance and implementation activities; facilitate the provision of data, documents and other access to CPD employees and material to the Monitor and DOJ as needed; ensure that all documents and records are maintained as provided in this Agreement; and assist in assigning compliance tasks to CPD personnel, as directed by the Chief of Police or his designee. The CPD Compliance Coordinator will take primary responsibility for collecting the information the Monitor requires to carry out the terms of this Agreement.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

CPD named Mr. S. Gregory Baker as the Department Compliance Coordinator and selected the Coordination Team in April 2002.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA	" vo. p 1	a. .	
Item		Status	11 110 100
104	Between 90 and 120 days following the	Due Date:	11/12/02
	effective date of this Agreement, and		
	every three months thereafter until	Primary Compliance Status:	Compliance
	this Agreement is terminated, the City		
	will file with the Monitor a status	Functional Compliance Status:	Compliance
	report, including any supporting		
	documentation, delineating all steps	Implementation Summary:	
	taken during the reporting period to		
	comply with this Agreement.	Pursuant to the MOA the City pr	coaucea its
		Second Quarterly Report.	
		Compliance Decomposite to the second	/ >
		Compliance Documentation: N/	Α

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 105 During the term of this Agreement, and subject to record retention requirements and procedures imposed by state or local law, the City and the CPD will maintain all records documenting compliance with this Agreement and all documents required by or developed pursuant to this Agreement. The City and the CPD will maintain all investigative files (including use of force, injury to prisoner and citizen complaint investigations) for at least 10 years from the date of the incident. The City and the CPD will maintain an officer's training records during the officer's employment with the CPD and for three years thereafter (unless required to be maintained for a longer period of time by applicable law).

Due Date: 7/12/02

Primary Compliance Status:

Status

- 1) Training Records Compliance
- 2) Investigative Files Pending State Approval

Functional Compliance Status: See Above

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 106 DOJ will continue to have full and Due Date: unrestricted access to any City and CPD documents (including databases), staff, and facilities that are relevant to evaluate compliance with this Agreement, except any documents protected by the attorney-client privilege. Should the City decline to provide the Monitor with access to a document based on attorney-client privilege, the City will provide the Monitor and DOJ with a log describing the document. This Agreement does not authorize, nor will it be construed to authorize, access to any CPD documents, except as expressly provided by this Agreement, by persons or entities other than DOJ, the City, the CPD, and the Monitor. DOJ will retain any non-public information in a confidential manner and will not disclose any non-public information to any person or entity, other than a court or the Monitor, absent written notice to the City and either written consent by the City or a court order authorizing disclosure.

Status

Primary Compliance Status:

Functional Compliance Status:

N/A

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation:

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
107	The Monitor will issue quarterly public	Due Date:	N/A
	reports detailing the City's compliance		
	with and implementation of this	Primary Compliance Status:	
	Agreement. The Monitor may issue	Booking Compliance Chatas	
	reports more frequently if the Monitor determines it appropriate to do so.	Functional Compliance Status:	
	These reports will not include	Implementation Summary:	
	information specifically identifying	Implementation bunnary.	
	any individual officer. Drafts of all		
	status reports will be provided to DOJ		
	and the City at least 10 days prior to		
	publication to afford the parties an		
	opportunity to identify factual errors.	Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 108 The Monitor will not issue statements Due Date:

or make findings with regard to any act or omission of the City, the CPD, or their agents or representatives, except as required by the terms of this Agreement. The Monitor may testify in any case brought by any party to this Agreement regarding any matter relating to the implementation, enforcement, or dissolution of this Agreement. With the exception of In re Cincinnati Policing, No. C-1-99-317 (S.D. Oh. 2001), the Monitor will not testify in any other litigation or proceeding with regard to any act or omission of the City, the CPD, or any of their agents, representatives, or employees related to this Agreement or regarding any matter or subject that the Monitor may have received knowledge of as a result of his or her performance under this Agreement. Unless such conflict is waived by the parties, the Monitor will not accept employment or provide consulting services that would present a conflict of interest with the Monitor's responsibilities under this Agreement, including being retained (on a paid or unpaid basis) by any current or future litigant or claimant, or such litigant's or claimant's attorney, in connection with a claim or suit against the City or its departments, officers, agents or employees. The Monitor will not be liable for any claim, lawsuit, or demand arising out of the Monitor's performance pursuant to this Agreement. Provided, however, that this paragraph does not apply to any proceeding before a court related to performance of contracts or subcontracts for monitoring this Agreement.

N/A

Primary Compliance Status:

Functional Compliance Status:

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation:

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph 109 The City and the CPD will implement immediately all provisions of this Agreement which involve the continuation of current City or CPD policies, procedures, and practices. Within 90 days of the effective date of this Agreement, unless another time frame is specified in this Agreement, the City and the CPD will implement each and every provision of this Agreement. The canine policy revisions required by paragraph 20, the Data Input Plan required by paragraph 60, the risk management protocol required by paragraph 61, and all changes to the CPD's risk management system and disciplinary matrix made pursuant to this Agreement will be subject to DOJ review and approval. Additionally, all subsequent revisions to the risk management system and disciplinary matrix made during the Agreement's term will be subject to DOJ review and approval.

Due Date: 7/12/02

Status

Primary Compliance Status: Compliance

Functional Compliance Status: Compliance

Implementation Summary:

The CPD has revised all affected policies and procedures within the 90-day period. Training on the revised policies and procedures began with the In-Service Training for supervisors in July 2002. The remainder of CPD personnel received training on the policies through the structured Roll Call Training Program instructed by respective unit supervisors and administered by the Training Section.

- The revised Canine Policy and Procedure has been sent to DOJ for review and approval.
- The Revised Disciplinary Matrix was approved by DOJ on 9/4/02.
- By agreement with DOJ, The Risk Management System Protocol will be developed after vendor selection.
- By agreement with DOJ and pursuant to MOA paragraph 60, the Data Input Plan will be submitted to DOJ for review and approval at least 90 days prior to implementation of the Risk Management System.

Compliance Documentation: N/A

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
110	In regard to any provision that provides for DOJ "review and approval,"	Due Date:	N/A
	approval will be granted in a timely fashion provided that the policy,	Primary Compliance Status:	
	protocol, plan, revision or other City or CPD action reasonably satisfies the	Functional Compliance Status:	
	requirements and standards set forth in the relevant provision(s).	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA Item # MOA Paragraph Status 111 The Agreement will terminate 5 years Due Date: N/A after the effective date of the Agreement or earlier if the parties Primary Compliance Status: agree that the CPD and the City are in substantial compliance with each of the Functional Compliance Status: provisions of this Agreement, and have maintained substantial compliance for Implementation Summary: at least two years. The burden will be on the City to demonstrate that it is in substantial compliance with each of the provisions of the Agreement and has maintained substantial compliance for at least two years. Noncompliance with mere technicalities, or temporary Compliance Documentation: failure to comply during a period of otherwise sustained compliance, will not constitute failure to maintain substantial compliance. At the same time, temporary compliance during a period of otherwise sustained noncompliance will not constitute substantial compliance.

AOI			
tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
112	The parties agree to defend the provisions of this Agreement. The	Due Date:	N/A
	parties will notify each other of any court or administrative challenge to	Primary Compliance Status:	
	this Agreement.	Functional Compliance Status:	
		Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation:	

tem	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
113	This Agreement is enforceable through specific performance in Federal Court.	Due Date:	N/A
	Failure by any of the parties to enforce this entire Agreement or any	Primary Compliance Status:	
	provision thereof with respect to any deadline or	Functional Compliance Status:	
	any other provision herein will not be construed as a waiver of its right to enforce other deadlines and provisions of this Agreement.	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 114 In the event the CPD or the City fail Due Date: N/A to fulfill any obligation under this Agreement, DOJ will, prior to Primary Compliance Status: initiating any court proceeding to remedy such failure, give written Functional Compliance Status: notice of the failure to the CPD and the City. The CPD and the City will Implementation Summary: have 60 days from receipt of such notice to cure the failure. At the end of the 60-day period, in the event DOJ determines that the failure has not been cured, DOJ may, without further Compliance Documentation: notice to the CPD or the City, file an action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio (the "Federal Court Action") against the CPD and the City for breach of contract and any other appropriate causes of action and may seek specific performance and any other appropriate form of relief.

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph

115 In connection with the Federal Court
 Action, the CPD and the City agree as

e as

N/A

- follows:
 (a.) The City and the CPD will
- (a.) The City and the CPD will stipulate to subject matter and in personam jurisdiction and to venue.
- (b.) The City and the CPD agree that service by hand delivery of the summons, complaint, and any other documents required to be filed in connection with the initiation of the Federal Court Action upon the City Manager, with a copy to the City Solicitor's Office, will be deemed good and sufficient service upon the City and the CPD
- (c.) The City and the CPD hereby waive the right to file, and agree not to file or otherwise assert, any motion to dismiss (except for failure to state a claim or failure to meet the service requirements of paragraph 115(b)), to stay or otherwise defer, a Federal Court Action alleging a failure to fulfill any obligation under this Agreement.
- The City and the CPD agree to a trial of the Federal Court Action alleging a failure to fulfill any obligation under this Agreement commencing: i) 120 days after service of the summons and complaint as set forth above, or ii) the Court's earliest availability, whichever is later. The parties agree that discovery in the Federal Court Action alleging a failure to fulfill any obligation under this Agreement may begin within 15 days after service of the summons and complaint. The parties agree to submit all discovery requests and to schedule all depositions within 75 days after the service of the summons and

complaint.

Primary Compliance Status:

Due Date:

Functional Compliance Status:

Status

Implementation Summary:

Compliance Documentation:

em		Status	
16	In the event, the Court finds that the	Due Date:	N/A
	City or the CPD has engaged in a		
	material breach of the Agreement, the	Primary Compliance Status:	
	parties hereby stipulate that they will		
	move jointly for the Court to enter the	Functional Compliance Status:	
	Agreement and any modifications as an		
	order of the court and to retain	Implementation Summary:	
	jurisdiction over the Agreement to		
	resolve any and all disputes arising		
	out of the Agreement.		
		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA

Item # MOA Paragraph Status 117 Nothing in this Agreement will preclude Due Date: N/A DOJ, after complying with paragraph 114, from filing an action under Primary Compliance Status: Section 14141 alleging a pattern or practice of Functional Compliance Status: excessive force in addition to or in lieu of the Federal Court Action Implementation Summary: described above. In the event that any such action is filed, the City and the CPD hereby waive, and agree not to assert, any defense to that action based on statute of limitations, Compliance Documentation: laches, estoppel or any objection relating to the timeliness of the filing of such action. Nothing in this Agreement will preclude DOJ from filing an action under Section 14141 alleging a pattern or practice of unlawful conduct other than excessive force. Nothing in this Agreement will preclude DOJ from filing an action under any other provision of law.

MOA		
Item		Status
118	This Agreement will be posted on the web sites of the City or the CPD and of	Due Date: 7/12/02
	the Special Litigation Section of the Civil Rights Division of DOJ.	Primary Compliance Status: Compliance
		Functional Compliance Status: Compliance
		Implementation Summary:
		The Agreement is accessible through both the City and CPD web sites. The City has no involvement with the DOJ posting.
		Compliance Documentation: N/A

MOA
Thom # MOA Paragrap

Item	# MOA Paragraph	Status	
119		Due Date:	N/A
	will not retaliate against any person because that person has filed or may	Primary Compliance Status:	
	file a complaint, provided information or assistance, or participated in any other manner in an investigation or	Functional Compliance Status:	
	proceeding relating to this Agreement.	Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation:	

MOA	# MOA Danagnanh	C+-+	
Item 120		Status Due Date:	N/A
1 -20	writing, to modify this Agreement.	Jue Bucc.	M/ A
	J, <u>,</u>	Primary Compliance Status:	
		Functional Compliance Status:	
		Town I am a which i am Common way	
		Implementation Summary:	
		Compliance Documentation:	
- 1			